

Chapter 1

Theory of Matrix Functions

In this first chapter we give a concise treatment of the theory of matrix functions, concentrating on those aspects that are most useful in the development of algorithms.

Most of the results in this chapter are for general functions. Results specific to particular functions can be found in later chapters devoted to those functions.

1.1. Introduction

The term “function of a matrix” can have several different meanings. In this book we are interested in a definition that takes a scalar function f and a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and specifies $f(A)$ to be a matrix of the same dimensions as A ; it does so in a way that provides a useful generalization of the function of a scalar variable $f(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Other interpretations of $f(A)$ that are not our focus here are as follows:

- Elementwise operations on matrices, for example $\sin A = (\sin a_{ij})$. These operations are available in some programming languages. For example, Fortran 95 supports “elemental operations” [423, 1999], and most of MATLAB’s elementary and special functions are applied in an elementwise fashion when given matrix arguments. However, elementwise operations do not integrate well with matrix algebra, as is clear from the fact that the elementwise square of A is not equal to the matrix product of A with itself. (Nevertheless, the elementwise product of two matrices, known as the Hadamard product or Schur product, is a useful concept [294, 1990], [296, 1991, Chap. 5].)
- Functions producing a scalar result, such as the trace, the determinant, the spectral radius, the condition number $\kappa(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|$, and one particular generalization to matrix arguments of the hypergeometric function [359, 2006].
- Functions mapping $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ that do not stem from a scalar function. Examples include matrix polynomials with matrix coefficients, the matrix transpose, the adjugate (or adjoint) matrix, compound matrices comprising minors of a given matrix, and factors from matrix factorizations. However, as a special case, the polar factors of a matrix are treated in Chapter 8.
- Functions mapping \mathbb{C} to $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, such as the transfer function $f(t) = B(tI - A)^{-1}C$, for $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, and $C \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$.

Before giving formal definitions, we offer some motivating remarks. When $f(t)$ is a polynomial or rational function with scalar coefficients and a scalar argument, t , it is natural to define $f(A)$ by substituting A for t , replacing division by matrix

inversion (provided that the matrices to be inverted are nonsingular), and replacing 1 by the identity matrix. Then, for example,

$$f(t) = \frac{1+t^2}{1-t} \Rightarrow f(A) = (I-A)^{-1}(I+A^2) \quad \text{if } 1 \notin \Lambda(A).$$

Here, $\Lambda(A)$ denotes the set of eigenvalues of A (the spectrum of A). Note that rational functions of a matrix commute, so it does not matter whether we write $(I-A)^{-1}(I+A^2)$ or $(I+A^2)(I-A)^{-1}$. If f has a convergent power series representation, such as

$$\log(1+t) = t - \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^3}{3} - \frac{t^4}{4} + \cdots, \quad |t| < 1,$$

we can again simply substitute A for t to define

$$\log(I+A) = A - \frac{A^2}{2} + \frac{A^3}{3} - \frac{A^4}{4} + \cdots, \quad \rho(A) < 1. \quad (1.1)$$

Here, ρ denotes the spectral radius and the condition $\rho(A) < 1$ ensures convergence of the matrix series (see Theorem 4.7). In this ad hoc fashion, a wide variety of matrix functions can be defined. However, this approach has several drawbacks:

- In order to build up a general mathematical theory, we need a way of defining $f(A)$ that is applicable to arbitrary functions f .
- A particular formula may apply only for a restricted set of A , as in (1.1). If we *define* $f(A)$ from such a formula (rather than obtain the formula by applying suitable principles to a more general definition) we need to check that it is consistent with other definitions of the same function.
- For a multivalued function (multifunction), such as the logarithm or square root, it is desirable to classify *all possible* $f(A)$ that can be obtained by using different branches of the function and to identify any distinguished values.

For these reasons we now consider general definitions of functions of a matrix.

1.2. Definitions of $f(A)$

There are many equivalent ways of defining $f(A)$. We focus on three that are of particular interest. These definitions yield *primary* matrix functions; nonprimary matrix functions are discussed in Section 1.4.

1.2.1. Jordan Canonical Form

It is a standard result that any matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ can be expressed in the Jordan canonical form

$$Z^{-1}AZ = J = \text{diag}(J_1, J_2, \dots, J_p), \quad (1.2a)$$

$$J_k = J_k(\lambda_k) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_k & 1 & & \\ & \lambda_k & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \lambda_k \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_k \times m_k}, \quad (1.2b)$$

where Z is nonsingular and $m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_p = n$. The Jordan matrix J is unique up to the ordering of the blocks J_i , but the transforming matrix Z is not unique.

Denote by $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ the distinct eigenvalues of A and let n_i be the order of the largest Jordan block in which λ_i appears, which is called the *index* of λ_i .

We need the following terminology.

Definition 1.1.¹ *The function f is said to be defined on the spectrum of A if the values*

$$f^{(j)}(\lambda_i), \quad j = 0:n_i - 1, \quad i = 1:s$$

exist. These are called the values of the function f on the spectrum of A .

In most cases of practical interest f is given by a formula, such as $f(t) = e^t$. However, the following definition of $f(A)$ requires only the values of f on the spectrum of A ; it does not require any other information about f . Indeed any $\sum_{i=1}^s n_i$ arbitrary numbers can be chosen and assigned as the values of f on the spectrum of A . It is only when we need to make statements about global properties such as continuity that we will need to assume more about f .

Definition 1.2 (matrix function via Jordan canonical form). *Let f be defined on the spectrum of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and let A have the Jordan canonical form (1.2). Then*

$$f(A) := Zf(J)Z^{-1} = Z \operatorname{diag}(f(J_k))Z^{-1}, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$f(J_k) := \begin{bmatrix} f(\lambda_k) & f'(\lambda_k) & \cdots & \frac{f^{(m_k-1)}(\lambda_k)}{(m_k-1)!} \\ & f(\lambda_k) & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & f'(\lambda_k) \\ & & & f(\lambda_k) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.4)$$

A simple example illustrates the definition. For the Jordan block $J = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $f(x) = x^3$, (1.4) gives

$$f(J) = \begin{bmatrix} f(1/2) & f'(1/2) \\ 0 & f(1/2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/8 & 3/4 \\ 0 & 1/8 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is easily verified to be J^3 .

To provide some insight into this definition we make several comments. First, the definition yields an $f(A)$ that can be shown to be independent of the particular Jordan canonical form that is used; see Problem 1.1.

Second, note that if A is diagonalizable then the Jordan canonical form reduces to an eigendecomposition $A = ZDZ^{-1}$, with $D = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_i)$ and the columns of Z eigenvectors of A , and Definition 1.2 yields $f(A) = Zf(D)Z^{-1} = Z \operatorname{diag}(f(\lambda_i))Z^{-1}$. Therefore for diagonalizable matrices $f(A)$ has the same eigenvectors as A and its eigenvalues are obtained by applying f to those of A .

¹This is the terminology used by Gantmacher [203, 1959, Chap. 5] and Lancaster and Tismenetsky [371, 1985, Chap. 9]. Note that the values depend not just on the eigenvalues but also on the maximal Jordan block sizes n_i .

Finally, we explain how (1.4) can be obtained from Taylor series considerations. In (1.2b) write $J_k = \lambda_k I + N_k \in \mathbb{C}^{m_k \times m_k}$, where N_k is zero except for a superdiagonal of 1s. Note that for $m_k = 3$ we have

$$N_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad N_k^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad N_k^3 = 0.$$

In general, powering N_k causes the superdiagonal of 1s to move a diagonal at a time towards the top right-hand corner, until at the m_k th power it disappears: $E_k^{m_k} = 0$; so N_k is nilpotent. Assume that f has a convergent Taylor series expansion

$$f(t) = f(\lambda_k) + f'(\lambda_k)(t - \lambda_k) + \cdots + \frac{f^{(j)}(\lambda_k)(t - \lambda_k)^j}{j!} + \cdots.$$

On substituting $J_k \in \mathbb{C}^{m_k \times m_k}$ for t we obtain the finite series

$$f(J_k) = f(\lambda_k)I + f'(\lambda_k)N_k + \cdots + \frac{f^{(m_k-1)}(\lambda_k)N_k^{m_k-1}}{(m_k-1)!}, \quad (1.5)$$

since all powers of N_k from the m_k th onwards are zero. This expression is easily seen to agree with (1.4). An alternative derivation of (1.5) that does not rest on a Taylor series is given in the next section.

Definition 1.2 requires the function f to take well-defined values on the spectrum of A —including values associated with derivatives, where appropriate. Thus in the case of functions such as \sqrt{t} and $\log t$ it is implicit that a single branch has been chosen in (1.4). Moreover, if an eigenvalue occurs in more than one Jordan block then the same choice of branch must be made in each block. If the latter requirement is violated then a *nonprimary* matrix function is obtained, as discussed in Section 1.4.

1.2.2. Polynomial Interpolation

The second definition is less obvious than the first, yet it has an elegant derivation and readily yields some useful properties. We first introduce some background on polynomials at matrix arguments.

The *minimal polynomial* of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is defined to be the unique monic polynomial ψ of lowest degree such that $\psi(A) = 0$. The existence of ψ is easily proved; see Problem 1.5. A key property is that the minimal polynomial divides any other polynomial p for which $p(A) = 0$. Indeed, by polynomial long division any such p can be written $p = \psi q + r$, where the degree of the remainder r is less than that of ψ . But $0 = p(A) = \psi(A)q(A) + r(A) = r(A)$, and this contradicts the minimality of the degree of ψ unless $r = 0$. Hence $r = 0$ and ψ divides p .

By considering the Jordan canonical form it is not hard to see that

$$\psi(t) = \prod_{i=1}^s (t - \lambda_i)^{n_i}, \quad (1.6)$$

where, as in the previous section, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ are the distinct eigenvalues of A and n_i is the dimension of the largest Jordan block in which λ_i appears. It follows immediately that ψ is zero on the spectrum of A (in the sense of Definition 1.1).

For any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and any polynomial $p(t)$, it is obvious that $p(A)$ is defined (by substituting A for t) and that p is defined on the spectrum of A . Our interest in polynomials stems from the fact that the values of p on the spectrum of A determine $p(A)$.

Theorem 1.3. For polynomials p and q and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $p(A) = q(A)$ if and only if p and q take the same values on the spectrum of A .

Proof. Suppose that two polynomials p and q satisfy $p(A) = q(A)$. Then $d = p - q$ is zero at A so is divisible by the minimal polynomial ψ . In other words, d takes only the value zero on the spectrum of A , that is, p and q take the same values on the spectrum of A .

Conversely, suppose p and q take the same values on the spectrum of A . Then $d = p - q$ is zero on the spectrum of A and so must be divisible by the minimum polynomial ψ , in view of (1.6). Hence $d = \psi r$ for some polynomial r , and since $d(A) = \psi(A)r(A) = 0$, it follows that $p(A) = q(A)$. \square

Thus it is a property of polynomials that the matrix $p(A)$ is completely determined by the values of p on the spectrum of A . It is natural to generalize this property to arbitrary functions and define $f(A)$ in such a way that $f(A)$ is completely determined by the values of f on the spectrum of A .

Definition 1.4 (matrix function via Hermite interpolation). Let f be defined on the spectrum of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and let ψ be the minimal polynomial of A . Then $f(A) := p(A)$, where p is the polynomial of degree less than

$$\sum_{i=1}^s n_i = \deg \psi$$

that satisfies the interpolation conditions

$$p^{(j)}(\lambda_i) = f^{(j)}(\lambda_i), \quad j = 0: n_i - 1, \quad i = 1: s. \quad (1.7)$$

There is a unique such p and it is known as the Hermite interpolating polynomial.

An example is useful for clarification. Consider $f(t) = \sqrt{t}$ and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues are 1 and 4, so $s = 2$ and $n_1 = n_2 = 1$. We take $f(t)$ as the principal branch $t^{1/2}$ of the square root function and find that the required interpolant satisfying $p(1) = f(1) = 1$ and $p(4) = f(4) = 2$ is

$$p(t) = f(1) \frac{t-4}{1-4} + f(4) \frac{t-1}{4-1} = \frac{1}{3}(t+2).$$

Hence

$$f(A) = p(A) = \frac{1}{3}(A + 2I) = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easily checked that $f(A)^2 = A$. Note that the formula $A^{1/2} = (A + 2I)/3$ holds more generally for any diagonalizable $n \times n$ matrix A having eigenvalues 1 and/or 4 (and hence having a minimal polynomial that divides $\psi(t) = (t-1)(t-4)$)—including the identity matrix. We are not restricted to using the same branch of the square root function at each eigenvalue. For example, with $f(1) = 1$ and $f(4) = -2$ we obtain $p(t) = 2 - t$ and

$$f(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We make several remarks on this definition.

Remark 1.5. If q is a polynomial that satisfies the interpolation conditions (1.7) and some additional interpolation conditions (at the same or different λ_i) then q and the polynomial p of Definition 1.4 take the same values on the spectrum of A . Hence by Theorem 1.3, $q(A) = p(A) = f(A)$. Sometimes, in constructing a polynomial q for which $q(A) = f(A)$, it is convenient to impose more interpolation conditions than necessary—typically if the eigenvalues of A are known but the Jordan form is not (see the next remark, and Theorem 3.7, for example). Doing so yields a polynomial of higher degree than necessary but does not affect the ability of the polynomial to produce $f(A)$.

Remark 1.6. The Hermite interpolating polynomial p is given explicitly by the Lagrange–Hermite formula

$$p(t) = \sum_{i=1}^s \left[\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \frac{1}{j!} \phi_i^{(j)}(\lambda_i) (t - \lambda_i)^j \right) \prod_{j \neq i} (t - \lambda_j)^{n_j} \right], \quad (1.8)$$

where $\phi_i(t) = f(t) / \prod_{j \neq i} (t - \lambda_j)^{n_j}$. For a matrix with distinct eigenvalues ($n_i \equiv 1$, $s = n$) this formula reduces to the familiar Lagrange form

$$p(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i) \ell_i(t), \quad \ell_i(t) = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \left(\frac{t - \lambda_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \right). \quad (1.9)$$

An elegant alternative to (1.8) is the Newton divided difference form

$$p(t) = f[x_1] + f[x_1, x_2](t - x_1) + f[x_1, x_2, x_3](t - x_1)(t - x_2) + \cdots \\ + f[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m](t - x_1)(t - x_2) \cdots (t - x_{m-1}), \quad (1.10)$$

where $m = \deg \psi$ and the set $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m$ comprises the distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ with λ_i having multiplicity n_i . Here the $f[\dots]$ denote divided differences, which are defined in Section B.16. Another polynomial q for which $f(A) = q(A)$ is given by (1.10) with $m = n$ and $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ the set of all n eigenvalues of A :

$$q(t) = f[\lambda_1] + f[\lambda_1, \lambda_2](t - \lambda_1) + f[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3](t - \lambda_1)(t - \lambda_2) + \cdots \\ + f[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n](t - \lambda_1)(t - \lambda_2) \cdots (t - \lambda_{n-1}). \quad (1.11)$$

This polynomial is independent of the Jordan structure of A and is in general of higher degree than p . However, the properties of divided differences ensure that q and p take the same values on the spectrum of A , so $q(A) = p(A) = f(A)$.

Remark 1.7. This definition explicitly makes $f(A)$ a polynomial in A . It is important to note, however, that the polynomial p depends on A , through the values of f on the spectrum of A , so it is not the case that $f(A) \equiv q(A)$ for some fixed polynomial q independent of A .

Remark 1.8. If f is given by a power series, Definition 1.4 says that $f(A)$ is nevertheless expressible as a polynomial in A of degree at most $n - 1$. Another way to arrive at this conclusion is as follows. The Cayley–Hamilton theorem says that any matrix

satisfies its own characteristic equation: $q(A) = 0$,² where $q(t) = \det(tI - A)$ is the characteristic polynomial. This theorem follows immediately from the fact that the minimal polynomial ψ divides q (see Problem 1.18 for another proof). Hence the n th power of A , and inductively all higher powers, are expressible as a linear combination of I, A, \dots, A^{n-1} . Thus any power series in A can be reduced to a polynomial in A of degree at most $n - 1$. This polynomial is rarely of an elegant form or of practical interest; exceptions are given in (1.16) and Problem 10.13.

Remark 1.9. It is natural to ask whether $f(A)$ is real whenever A is real. By considering real, diagonal A , it is clear that for this condition to hold it is necessary that the scalar function f is real on the subset of the real line on which it is defined. Since the nonreal eigenvalues of a real matrix occur in complex conjugate pairs $\lambda, \bar{\lambda}$ it is reasonable also to assume that $f(\lambda), f(\bar{\lambda})$ form a complex conjugate pair, and likewise for higher derivatives. The interpolation conditions (1.7) can be written in the form of a dual (confluent) Vandermonde system of equations whose solution is a vector comprising the coefficients of r . Considering, for a moment, a 2×2 real matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda, \bar{\lambda}$ ($\lambda \neq \bar{\lambda}$) this system is, under the assumption on f above,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 1 & \bar{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_0 \\ r_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f(\lambda) \\ f(\bar{\lambda}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f(\lambda) \\ \overline{f(\lambda)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Premultiplying by the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -i & i \end{bmatrix}/2$ yields the system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \operatorname{Re} \lambda \\ 0 & \operatorname{Im} \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_0 \\ r_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re} f(\lambda) \\ \operatorname{Im} f(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}$$

with a *real* coefficient matrix and right-hand side. We conclude that r has real coefficients and hence $f(A) = p(A)$ is real when A is real. This argument extends to real $n \times n$ matrices under the stated condition on f . As a particular example, we can conclude that if A is real and nonsingular with no eigenvalues on the negative real axis then A has a real square root and a real logarithm. For a full characterization of the existence of real square roots and logarithms see Theorem 1.23. Equivalent conditions to $f(A)$ being real for real A when f is analytic are given in Theorem 1.18.

Remark 1.10. We can derive directly from Definition 1.4 the formula (1.4) for a function of the Jordan block J_k in (1.2). It suffices to note that the interpolation conditions are $p^{(j)}(\lambda_k) = f^{(j)}(\lambda_k)$, $j = 0:m_k - 1$, so that the required Hermite interpolating polynomial is

$$p(t) = f(\lambda_k) + f'(\lambda_k)(t - \lambda_k) + \frac{f''(\lambda_k)(t - \lambda_k)^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{f^{(m_k-1)}(\lambda_k)(t - \lambda_k)^{m_k-1}}{(m_k - 1)!},$$

and then to evaluate $p(J_k)$, making use of the properties of the powers of N_k noted in the previous section (cf. (1.5)).

1.2.3. Cauchy Integral Theorem

Perhaps the most concise and elegant definition of a function of a matrix is a generalization of the Cauchy integral theorem.

²It is incorrect to try to prove the Cayley–Hamilton theorem by “ $q(A) = \det(AI - A) = 0$ ”.

Definition 1.11 (matrix function via Cauchy integral). For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$,

$$f(A) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z)(zI - A)^{-1} dz, \quad (1.12)$$

where f is analytic on and inside a closed contour Γ that encloses $\Lambda(A)$.

The integrand contains the resolvent, $(zI - A)^{-1}$, which is defined on Γ since Γ is disjoint from the spectrum of A .

This definition leads to short proofs of certain theoretical results and has the advantage that it can be generalized to operators.

1.2.4. Equivalence of Definitions

Our three definitions are equivalent, modulo the requirement in the Cauchy integral definition that f be analytic in a region of the complex plane containing the spectrum.

Theorem 1.12. *Definition 1.2 (Jordan canonical form) and Definition 1.4 (Hermite interpolation) are equivalent. If f is analytic then Definition 1.11 (Cauchy integral) is equivalent to Definitions 1.2 and 1.4.*

Proof. Definition 1.4 says that $f(A) = p(A)$ for a Hermite interpolating polynomial p satisfying (1.7). If A has the Jordan form (1.2) then $f(A) = p(A) = p(ZJZ^{-1}) = Zp(J)Z^{-1} = Z \operatorname{diag}(p(J_k))Z^{-1}$, just from elementary properties of matrix polynomials. But since $p(J_k)$ is completely determined by the values of p on the spectrum of J_k , and these values are a subset of the values of p on the spectrum of A , it follows from Remark 1.5 and Remark 1.10 that $p(J_k)$ is precisely (1.4). Hence the matrix $f(A)$ obtained from Definition 1.4 agrees with that given by Definition 1.2.

For the equivalence of Definition 1.11 with the other two definitions, see Horn and Johnson [296, 1991, Thm. 6.2.28]. \square

We will mainly use (for theoretical purposes) Definitions 1.2 and 1.4. The polynomial interpolation definition, Definition 1.4, is well suited to proving basic properties of matrix functions, such as those in Section 1.3, while the Jordan canonical form definition, Definition 1.2, excels for solving matrix equations such as $X^2 = A$ and $e^X = A$. For many purposes, such as the derivation of the formulae in the next section, either of the definitions can be used.

In the rest of the book we will refer simply to “the definition of a matrix function”.

1.2.5. Example: Function of Identity Plus Rank-1 Matrix

To illustrate the theory, and the consistency of the different ways of defining $f(A)$, it is instructive to consider the cases where A is a rank-1 matrix and a rank-1 perturbation of the identity matrix.

Consider, first, a rank-1 matrix $A = uv^*$. The interpolation definition provides the easiest way to obtain $f(A)$. We first need to determine the Jordan structure of A . If $v^*u \neq 0$ then A has an eigenpair (v^*u, u) and 0 is a semisimple eigenvalue of multiplicity $n - 1$. The interpolation conditions (1.7) are therefore simply

$$p(v^*u) = f(v^*u), \quad p(0) = f(0),$$

and so

$$p(t) = \frac{t - v^*u}{0 - v^*u}f(0) + \frac{t - 0}{v^*u - 0}f(v^*u).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &= p(A) = -\frac{f(0)}{v^*u}uv^* + f(0)I + f(v^*u)\frac{uv^*}{v^*u} \\ &= f(0)I + \left(\frac{f(v^*u) - f(0)}{v^*u - 0}\right)uv^* \\ &= f(0)I + f[v^*u, 0]uv^*. \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

We have manipulated the expression into this form involving a divided difference because it is suggestive of what happens when $v^*u = 0$. Indeed $f[0, 0] = f'(0)$ and so when $v^*u = 0$ we may expect that $f(A) = f(0)I + f'(0)uv^*$. To confirm this formula, note that $v^*u = 0$ implies that the spectrum of A consists entirely of 0 and that $A^2 = (v^*u)uv^* = 0$. Hence, assuming $A \neq 0$, A must have one 2×2 Jordan block corresponding to the eigenvalue 0, with the other $n - 2$ zero eigenvalues occurring in 1×1 Jordan blocks. The interpolation conditions (1.7) are therefore

$$p(0) = f(0), \quad p'(0) = f'(0),$$

and so $p(t) = f(0) + tf'(0)$. Therefore $p(A) = f(0)I + f'(0)uv^*$, as anticipated. To summarize, the formula

$$f(uv^*) = f(0)I + f[v^*u, 0]uv^* \tag{1.14}$$

is valid for all u and v . We could have obtained this formula directly by using the divided difference form (1.10) of the Hermite interpolating polynomial r , but the derivation above gives more insight.

We now show how the formula is obtained from Definition 1.2 when $v^*u \neq 0$ (for the case $v^*u = 0$ see Problem 1.15). The Jordan canonical form can be written as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} u & X \end{bmatrix} \text{diag}(v^*u, 0, \dots, 0) \begin{bmatrix} v^*/(v^*u) \\ Y \end{bmatrix},$$

where X and Y are chosen so that $AX = 0$, $\begin{bmatrix} u & X \end{bmatrix}$ is nonsingular, and

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & X \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v^*/(v^*u) \\ Y \end{bmatrix} = I. \tag{1.15}$$

Hence

$$f(A) = \begin{bmatrix} u & X \end{bmatrix} \text{diag}(f(v^*u), f(0), \dots, f(0)) \begin{bmatrix} v^*/(v^*u) \\ Y \end{bmatrix} = f(v^*u)\frac{uv^*}{v^*u} + f(0)XY.$$

But $XY = I - uv^*/(v^*u)$, from (1.15), and hence (1.13) is recovered.

If f has a power series expansion then (1.14) can also be derived by direct substitution into the power series, using $A^k = (v^*u)^{k-1}uv^*$.

The Cauchy integral definition (1.12) can also be used to derive (1.14) when f is analytic, by using the Sherman–Morrison formula (B.11).

Even in the rank-1 case issues of nonexistence are present. For f the square root, (1.14) provides the two square roots $uv^*/\sqrt{v^*u}$ for $v^*u \neq 0$. But if $v^*u = 0$ the

formula breaks down because $f'(0)$ is undefined. In this case A has no square roots—essentially because the Jordan form of A has a block $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, which has no square roots. Also note that if u and v are real, $f(uv^*)$ will be real only if $f[v^*u, 0]$ is real.

Analysis very similar to that above provides a formula for a function of the identity plus a rank-1 matrix that generalizes (1.14) (see Problem 1.16):

$$f(\alpha I + uv^*) = f(\alpha)I + f[\alpha + v^*u, \alpha]uv^*. \quad (1.16)$$

For a more general result involving a perturbation of arbitrary rank see Theorem 1.35.

1.2.6. Example: Function of Discrete Fourier Transform Matrix

Another interesting example is provided by the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) matrix

$$F_n = \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} \left(\exp(-2\pi i(r-1)(s-1)/n) \right)_{r,s=1}^n \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}. \quad (1.17)$$

F_n is a very special matrix: it is complex symmetric and unitary (and is a Vandermonde matrix based on the roots of unity). Let us see how to evaluate $f(F_n)$.

The DFT has the special property that $F_n^4 = I$, from which it follows that the minimal polynomial of F_n is $\psi(t) = t^4 - 1$ for $n \geq 4$. The interpolating polynomial in (1.7) therefore has degree 3 for all $n \geq 4$ and can be expressed in Lagrange form (1.9) as

$$p(t) = \frac{1}{4} [f(1)(t+1)(t-i)(t+i) - f(-1)(t-1)(t-i)(t+i) \\ + if(i)(t-1)(t+1)(t+i) - if(-i)(t-1)(t+1)(t-i)]. \quad (1.18)$$

Thus $f(A) = p(A)$, and in fact this formula holds even for $n = 1, 3$, since incorporating extra interpolation conditions does not affect the ability of the interpolating polynomial to yield $f(A)$ (see Remark 1.5). This expression can be quickly evaluated in $O(n^2 \log n)$ operations because multiplication of a vector by F_n can be carried out in $O(n \log n)$ operations using the fast Fourier transform (FFT).

Because F_n is unitary and hence normal, F_n is unitarily diagonalizable: $F_n = QDQ^*$ for some unitary Q and diagonal D . (Indeed, any matrix with minimal polynomial $\psi(t)$ has distinct eigenvalues and so is diagonalizable.) Thus $f(F_n) = Qf(D)Q^*$. However, this formula requires knowledge of Q and D and so is much more complicated to use than (1.18).

1.3. Properties

The sign of a good definition is that it leads to the properties one expects or hopes for, as well as some useful properties that are less obvious. We collect some general properties that follow from the definition of $f(A)$.

Theorem 1.13. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and let f be defined on the spectrum of A . Then*

- (a) $f(A)$ commutes with A ;
- (b) $f(A^T) = f(A)^T$;
- (c) $f(XAX^{-1}) = Xf(A)X^{-1}$;
- (d) the eigenvalues of $f(A)$ are $f(\lambda_i)$, where the λ_i are the eigenvalues of A ;

(e) if X commutes with A then X commutes with $f(A)$;

(f) if $A = (A_{ij})$ is block triangular then $F = f(A)$ is block triangular with the same block structure as A , and $F_{ii} = f(A_{ii})$;

(g) if $A = \text{diag}(A_{11}, A_{22}, \dots, A_{mm})$ is block diagonal then

$$f(A) = \text{diag}(f(A_{11}), f(A_{22}), \dots, f(A_{mm}));$$

(h) $f(I_m \otimes A) = I_m \otimes f(A)$, where \otimes is the Kronecker product;

(i) $f(A \otimes I_m) = f(A) \otimes I_m$.

Proof. Definition 1.4 implies that $f(A)$ is a polynomial in A , $p(A)$ say. Then $f(A)A = p(A)A = Ap(A) = Af(A)$, which proves the first property. For (b) we have $f(A)^T = p(A)^T = p(A^T) = f(A^T)$, where the last equality follows from the fact that the values of f on the spectrum of A are the same as the values of f on the spectrum of A^T . (c) and (d) follow immediately from Definition 1.2. (e) follows from (c) when X is nonsingular; more generally it is obtained from $Xf(A) = Xp(A) = p(A)X = f(A)X$. For (f), $f(A) = p(A)$ is clearly block triangular and its i th diagonal block is $p(A_{ii})$. Since p interpolates f on the spectrum of A it interpolates f on the spectrum of each A_{ii} , and hence $p(A_{ii}) = f(A_{ii})$. (g) is a special case of (f). (h) is a special case of (g), since $I_m \otimes A = \text{diag}(A, A, \dots, A)$. Finally, we have $A \otimes B = \Pi(B \otimes A)\Pi^T$ for a permutation matrix Π , and so

$$f(A \otimes I_m) = f(\Pi(I_m \otimes A)\Pi^T) = \Pi f(I_m \otimes A)\Pi^T = \Pi(I_m \otimes f(A))\Pi^T = f(A) \otimes I_m. \quad \square$$

Theorem 1.14 (equality of two matrix functions). *With the notation of Section 1.2, $f(A) = g(A)$ if and only if*

$$f^{(j)}(\lambda_i) = g^{(j)}(\lambda_i), \quad j = 0:n_i - 1, \quad i = 1:s.$$

Equivalently, $f(A) = 0$ if and only if

$$f^{(j)}(\lambda_i) = 0, \quad j = 0:n_i - 1, \quad i = 1:s.$$

Proof. This result is immediate from Definition 1.2 or Definition 1.4. \square

The next three results show how different functions interact in combination. It is worth emphasizing why these results are nontrivial. It is not immediate from any of the definitions of $f(A)$ how to evaluate at A a composite function, such as $f(t) = e^{-t} \sin(t)$ or $g(t) = t - (t^{1/2})^2$. Replacing “ t ” by “ A ” in these expressions needs to be justified, as does the deduction $g(A) = 0$ from $g(t) = 0$. However, in any polynomial (which may be an expression made up from other polynomials) the “ $t \rightarrow A$ ” substitution is valid, and the proofs for general functions therefore work by reducing to the polynomial case. The first result concerns a sum or product of functions.

Theorem 1.15 (sum and product of functions). *Let f and g be functions defined on the spectrum of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.*

(a) *If $h(t) = f(t) + g(t)$ then $h(A) = f(A) + g(A)$.*

(b) *If $h(t) = f(t)g(t)$ then $h(A) = f(A)g(A)$.*

Proof. Part (a) is immediate from any of the definitions of $h(A)$. For part (b), let p and q interpolate f and g on the spectrum of A , so that $p(A) = f(A)$ and $q(A) = g(A)$. By differentiating and using the product rule we find that the functions $h(t)$ and $r(t) = p(t)q(t)$ have the same values on the spectrum of A . Hence $h(A) = r(A) = p(A)q(A) = f(A)g(A)$. \square

The next result generalizes the previous one and says that scalar functional relationships of a polynomial nature are preserved by matrix functions. For example $\sin^2(A) + \cos^2(A) = I$, $(A^{1/p})^p = A$, and $e^{iA} = \cos(A) + i \sin(A)$. Of course, generalizations of scalar identities that involve two or more noncommuting matrices may fail; for example, e^{A+B} , $e^A e^B$, and $e^B e^A$ are in general all different (see Section 10.1).

Theorem 1.16 (polynomial functional identities). *Let $Q(u_1, \dots, u_t)$ be a polynomial in u_1, \dots, u_t and let f_1, \dots, f_t be functions defined on the spectrum of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. If $f(\lambda) = Q(f_1(\lambda), \dots, f_t(\lambda))$ takes zero values on the spectrum of A then $f(A) = Q(f_1(A), \dots, f_t(A)) = 0$.*

Proof. Let the polynomials p_1, \dots, p_t interpolate f_1, \dots, f_t on the spectrum of A . Then $p_i(A) = f_i(A)$, $i = 1:t$. Let $p(\lambda) = Q(p_1(\lambda), \dots, p_t(\lambda))$, and note that $p(\lambda)$ is a polynomial in λ . Since p_i and f_i take the same values on the spectrum of A , so do f and p . But f takes zero values on the spectrum of A , by assumption, and hence so does p . Therefore, by Theorem 1.14, $f(A) = p(A) = 0$. \square

The next result concerns a composite function in which neither of the constituents need be a polynomial.

Theorem 1.17 (composite function). *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and let the distinct eigenvalues of A be $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ with indices n_1, \dots, n_s . Let h be defined on the spectrum of A (so that the values $h^{(j)}(\lambda_i)$, $j = 0:n_i - 1$, $i = 1:s$ exist) and let the values $g^{(j)}(h(\lambda_i))$, $j = 0:n_i - 1$, $i = 1:s$ exist. Then $f(t) = g(h(t))$ is defined on the spectrum of A and $f(A) = g(h(A))$.*

Proof. Let $\mu_k = h(\lambda_k)$, $k = 1:s$. Since

$$f(\lambda_k) = g(\mu_k), \quad (1.19a)$$

$$f'(\lambda_k) = g'(\mu_k)h'(\lambda_k), \quad (1.19b)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f^{(n_k-1)}(\lambda_k) = g^{(n_k-1)}(\mu_k)h^{(n_k-1)}(\lambda_k) + \dots + g'(\mu_k)h^{(n_k-1)}(\lambda_k), \quad (1.19c)$$

and all the derivatives on the right-hand side exist, f is defined on the spectrum of A .

Let $p(t)$ be any polynomial satisfying the interpolation conditions

$$p^{(j)}(\mu_i) = g^{(j)}(\mu_i), \quad j = 0:n_i - 1, \quad i = 1:s. \quad (1.20)$$

From Definition 1.2 it is clear that the indices of the eigenvalues μ_1, \dots, μ_s of $h(A)$ are at most n_1, \dots, n_s , so the values on the right-hand side of (1.20) contain the values of g on the spectrum of $B = h(A)$; thus $g(B)$ is defined and $p(B) = g(B)$. It now follows by (1.19) and (1.20) that the values of $f(t)$ and $p(h(t))$ coincide on the spectrum of A . Hence by applying Theorem 1.16 to $Q(f(t), h(t)) = f(t) - p(h(t))$ we conclude that

$$f(A) = p(h(A)) = p(B) = g(B) = g(h(A)),$$

as required. \square

The assumptions in Theorem 1.17 on g for $f(A)$ to exist are stronger than necessary in certain cases where a Jordan block of A splits under evaluation of h . Consider, for example, $g(t) = t^{1/3}$, $h(t) = t^2$, and $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. The required derivative $g'(0)$ in Theorem 1.17 does not exist, but $f(A) = (A^2)^{1/3} = 0$ nevertheless does exist. (A full description of the Jordan canonical form of $f(A)$ in terms of that of A is given in Theorem 1.36.)

Theorem 1.17 implies that $\exp(\log A) = A$, provided that \log is defined on the spectrum of A . However, $\log(\exp(A)) = A$ does not hold unless the spectrum of A satisfies suitable restrictions, since the scalar relation $\log(e^t) = t$ is likewise not generally true in view of $e^t = e^{t+2k\pi i}$ for any integer k ; see Problem 1.39.

Although $f(A^T) = f(A)^T$ always holds (Theorem 1.13 (b)), the property $f(A^*) = f(A)^*$ does not. The next result says essentially that for an analytic function f defined on a suitable domain that includes a subset S of the real line, $f(A^*) = f(A)^*$ holds precisely when f maps S back into the real line. This latter condition also characterizes when A real implies $f(A)$ real (cf. the sufficient conditions given in Remark 1.9).

Theorem 1.18 (Higham, Mackey, Mackey, and Tisseur). *Let f be analytic on an open subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that each connected component of Ω is closed under conjugation. Consider the corresponding matrix function f on its natural domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the set $\mathcal{D} = \{A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} : \Lambda(A) \subseteq \Omega\}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) $f(A^*) = \overline{f(A)^*}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{D}$.
- (b) $f(\overline{A}) = \overline{f(A)}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{D}$.
- (c) $f(\mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \cap \mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.
- (d) $f(\mathbb{R} \cap \Omega) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. The first two properties are obviously equivalent, in view of Theorem 1.13 (b). Our strategy is therefore to show that (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (b).

(b) \Rightarrow (c): If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \cap \mathcal{D}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &= f(\overline{A}) \quad (\text{since } A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \\ &= \overline{f(A)} \quad (\text{given}), \end{aligned}$$

so $f(A) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, as required.

(c) \Rightarrow (d): If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \cap \Omega$ then $\lambda I \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \cap \mathcal{D}$. So $f(\lambda I) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ by (c), and hence, since $f(\lambda I) = f(\lambda)I$, $f(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}$.

The argument that (d) \Rightarrow (b) is more technical and involves complex analysis; see Higham, Mackey, Mackey, and Tisseur [283, 2005, Thm. 3.2]. \square

Our next result shows that although the definition of $f(A)$ utilizes only the values of f on the spectrum of A (the values assumed by f elsewhere in \mathbb{C} being arbitrary), $f(A)$ is a continuous function of A under suitable assumptions on f and the domain.

Theorem 1.19 (continuity). *Let \mathcal{D} be an open subset of \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} and let f be $n - 1$ times continuously differentiable on \mathcal{D} . Then $f(A)$ is a continuous matrix function on the set of matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with spectrum in \mathcal{D} .*

Proof. See Horn and Johnson [296, 1991, Thm. 6.2.27 (1)], and Mathias [412, 1996, Lem. 1.1] for the conditions as stated here. \square

that is, solve $X^2 = A$. Taking $f(t) = \sqrt{t}$, the interpolation conditions in Definitions 1.4 are (with $s = 1$, $n_1 = 1$) simply $p(1) = \sqrt{1}$. The interpolating polynomial is therefore either $p(t) = 1$ or $p(t) = -1$, corresponding to the two square roots of 1, giving I and $-I$ as square roots of A . Both of these square roots are, trivially, polynomials in A . Turning to Definition 1.2, the matrix A is already in Jordan form with two 1×1 Jordan blocks, and the definition provides the same two square roots. However, if we ignore the prescription at the end of Section 1.2.1 about the choice of branches then we can obtain two more square roots,

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

in which the two eigenvalues 1 have been sent to *different* square roots. Moreover, since $A = ZIZ^{-1}$ is a Jordan canonical form for any nonsingular Z , Definition 1.2 yields the square roots

$$Z \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} Z^{-1}, \quad Z \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} Z^{-1}, \quad (1.22)$$

and these formulae provide an infinity of square roots, because only for diagonal Z are the matrices in (1.22) independent of Z . Indeed, one infinite family of square roots of A comprises the Householder reflections

$$H(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Definitions 1.2, 1.4, and 1.11 yield primary matrix functions. In most applications it is primary matrix functions that are of interest, and virtually all the existing theory and available methods are for such functions. Nonprimary matrix functions are obtained from Definition 1.2 when two equal eigenvalues in different Jordan blocks are mapped to different values of f ; in other words, different branches of f are taken for different Jordan blocks with the same eigenvalue. The function obtained thereby depends on the matrix Z in (1.3). This possibility arises precisely when the function is multivalued and the matrix is derogatory, that is, the matrix has multiple eigenvalues and an eigenvalue appears in more than one Jordan block.

Unlike primary matrix functions, nonprimary ones are not expressible as polynomials in the matrix. However, a nonprimary function obtained from Definition 1.2, using the prescription in the previous paragraph, nevertheless commutes with the matrix. Such a function has the form $X = Z \operatorname{diag}(f_k(J_k))Z^{-1}$, where $A = Z \operatorname{diag}(J_k)Z^{-1}$ is a Jordan canonical form and where the notation f_k denotes that the branch of f taken depends on k . Then $XA = AX$, because $f_k(J_k)$ is a primary matrix function and so commutes with J_k .

But note that not all nonprimary matrix functions are obtainable from the Jordan canonical form prescription above. For example, $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ has the square root $X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, and X is a Jordan block larger than the 1×1 Jordan blocks of A . This example also illustrates that a nonprimary function can have the same spectrum as a primary function, and so in general a nonprimary function cannot be identified from its spectrum alone.

Nonprimary functions can be needed when, for a matrix A depending on a parameter t , a smooth curve of functions $f(A(t))$ needs to be computed and eigenvalues of $A(t)$ coalesce. Suppose we wish to compute square roots of

$$G(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

as θ varies from 0 to 2π . Since multiplication of a vector by $G(\theta)$ represents a rotation through θ radians clockwise, $G(\theta/2)$ is the natural square root. However, for $\theta = \pi$,

$$G(\pi) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad G(\pi/2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The only primary square roots of $G(\pi)$ are $\pm iI$, which are nonreal. While it is nonprimary, $G(\pi/2)$ is the square root we need in order to produce a smooth curve of square roots.

An example of an application where nonprimary logarithms arise is the embeddability problems for Markov chains (see Section 2.3).

A primary matrix function with a nonprimary flavour is the matrix sign function (see Chapter 5), which for a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is a (generally) nonprimary square root of I that depends on A .

Unless otherwise stated, $f(A)$ denotes a primary matrix function throughout this book.

1.5. Existence of (Real) Matrix Square Roots and Logarithms

If A is nonsingular, or singular with a semisimple zero eigenvalue, then the square root function is defined on the spectrum of A and so primary square roots exist. If A is singular with a defective zero eigenvalue then while it has no primary square roots it may have nonprimary ones. The existence of a square root of either type can be neatly characterized in terms of null spaces of powers of A .

Theorem 1.22 (existence of matrix square root). *$A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ has a square root if and only if in the “ascent sequence” of integers d_1, d_2, \dots defined by*

$$d_i = \dim(\text{null}(A^i)) - \dim(\text{null}(A^{i-1}))$$

no two terms are the same odd integer.

Proof. See Cross and Lancaster [122, 1974] or Horn and Johnson [296, 1991, Cor. 6.4.13]. \square

To illustrate, consider a Jordan block $J \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ with eigenvalue zero. We have $\dim(\text{null}(J^0)) = 0$, $\dim(\text{null}(J)) = 1$, $\dim(\text{null}(J^2)) = 2$, \dots , $\dim(\text{null}(J^m)) = m$, and so the ascent sequence comprises m 1s. Hence J_k does not have a square root unless $m = 1$. However, the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{1.23}$$

has ascent sequence 2, 1, 0, \dots and so does have a square root—for example, the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{1.24}$$

(which is the 3×3 Jordan block with eigenvalue 0 with rows and columns 2 and 3 interchanged).

Another important existence question is “If A is real does there exist a real $f(A)$, either primary or nonprimary?” For most common functions the answer is clearly yes, by considering a power series representation. For the square root and logarithm the answer is not obvious; the next result completes the partial answer to this question given in Remark 1.9 and Theorem 1.18.

Theorem 1.23 (existence of real square root and real logarithm).

(a) $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has a real square root if and only if it satisfies the condition of Theorem 1.22 and A has an even number of Jordan blocks of each size for every negative eigenvalue.

(b) The nonsingular matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has a real logarithm if and only if A has an even number of Jordan blocks of each size for every negative eigenvalue.

(c) If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has any negative eigenvalues then no primary square root or logarithm is real.

Proof. For the last part consider the real Schur decomposition, $Q^T A Q = R$ (see Section B.5), where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is orthogonal and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is upper quasi-triangular. Clearly, $f(A)$ is real if and only if $Q^T f(A) Q = f(R)$ is real, and a primary matrix function $f(R)$ is block upper triangular with diagonal blocks $f(R_{ii})$. If A has a negative real eigenvalue then some R_{ii} is 1×1 and negative, making $f(R_{ii})$ nonreal for f the square root and logarithm.

The result of (b) is due to Culver [126, 1966], and the proof for (a) is similar; see also Horn and Johnson [296, 1991, Thms. 6.4.14, 6.4.15] and Nunemacher [451, 1989]. \square

Theorem 1.23 implies that $-I_n$ has a real, nonprimary square root and logarithm for every even n . For some insight into part (a), note that if A has two Jordan blocks J of the same size then its Jordan matrix has a principal submatrix of the form $\begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ J & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2$.

1.6. Classification of Matrix Square Roots and Logarithms

The theory presented above provides a means for identifying some of the solutions to nonlinear matrix equations such as $X^2 = A$, $e^X = A$, and $\cos(X) = A$, since in each case X can be expressed as a function of A . However, more work is needed to classify all the solutions. In particular, the possibility remains that there are solutions X that have a spectrum of the form required for a primary matrix function but that are not primary matrix functions according to our definition. This possibility can be ruled out when the inverse of the function of interest has a nonzero derivative on the spectrum of X .

We will concentrate on the matrix square root. Entirely analogous arguments apply to the logarithm, which we briefly discuss, and the matrix p th root, which is treated in Section 7.1. For f the square root function and $\lambda_k \neq 0$ we write

$$L_k^{(j_k)} \equiv L_k^{(j_k)}(\lambda_k) = f(J_k(\lambda_k)),$$

where $f(J_k(\lambda_k))$ is given in (1.4) and where $j_k = 1$ or 2 denotes the branch of f ; thus $L_k^{(1)} = -L_k^{(2)}$. Our first result characterizes all square roots.

Theorem 1.24 (Gantmacher). *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be nonsingular with the Jordan canonical form (1.2). Then all solutions to $X^2 = A$ are given by*

$$X = ZU \operatorname{diag}(L_1^{(j_1)}, L_2^{(j_2)}, \dots, L_p^{(j_p)})U^{-1}Z^{-1}, \quad (1.25)$$

where U is an arbitrary nonsingular matrix that commutes with J .

Proof. Let X be any square root of A . Since A is nonsingular so is X , and hence the derivative of the function x^2 is nonzero at the eigenvalues of X . By Theorem 1.36, given that A has the Jordan canonical form $J = \operatorname{diag}(J_1(\lambda_1), J_2(\lambda_2), \dots, J_p(\lambda_p))$, X must have the Jordan canonical form

$$J_X = \operatorname{diag}(J_1(\mu_1), J_2(\mu_2), \dots, J_p(\mu_p)), \quad (1.26)$$

where $\mu_k^2 = \lambda_k$, $k = 1: p$.

Now consider the matrix

$$L = \operatorname{diag}(L_1^{(j_1)}, L_2^{(j_2)}, \dots, L_p^{(j_p)}), \quad (1.27)$$

where we choose the j_k so that $L_k^{(j_k)}$ has eigenvalue μ_k for each k . Since L is a square root of J , by the same argument as above L must have the Jordan canonical form J_X . Hence $X = WLW^{-1}$ for some nonsingular W . From $X^2 = A$ we have $WJW^{-1} = WL^2W^{-1} = ZJZ^{-1}$, which can be rewritten as $(Z^{-1}W)J = J(Z^{-1}W)$. Hence $U = Z^{-1}W$ is an arbitrary matrix that commutes with J , which completes the proof. \square

The structure of the matrix U in Theorem 1.24 is described in the next result.

Theorem 1.25 (commuting matrices). *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ have the Jordan canonical form (1.2). All solutions of $AX = XA$ are given by $X = ZWZ^{-1}$, where $W = (W_{ij})$ with $W_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_i \times m_j}$ (partitioned conformably with J in (1.2)) satisfies*

$$W_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j, \\ T_{ij}, & \lambda_i = \lambda_j, \end{cases}$$

where T_{ij} is an arbitrary upper trapezoidal Toeplitz matrix which, for $m_i < m_j$, has the form $T_{ij} = [0, U_{ij}]$, where U_{ij} is square.

Proof. See Lancaster and Tismenetsky [371, 1985, Thm. 12.4.1]. \square

Next we refine Theorem 1.24 to classify the square roots into primary and non-primary square roots.

Theorem 1.26 (classification of square roots). *Let the nonsingular matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ have the Jordan canonical form (1.2) with p Jordan blocks, and let $s \leq p$ be the number of distinct eigenvalues of A . Then A has precisely 2^s square roots that are primary functions of A , given by*

$$X_j = Z \operatorname{diag}(L_1^{(j_1)}, L_2^{(j_2)}, \dots, L_p^{(j_p)})Z^{-1}, \quad j = 1: 2^s,$$

corresponding to all possible choices of j_1, \dots, j_p , $j_k = 1$ or 2 , subject to the constraint that $j_i = j_k$ whenever $\lambda_i = \lambda_k$.

If $s < p$, A has nonprimary square roots. They form parametrized families

$$X_j(U) = ZU \operatorname{diag}(L_1^{(j_1)}, L_2^{(j_2)}, \dots, L_p^{(j_p)})U^{-1}Z^{-1}, \quad j = 2^s + 1: 2^p,$$

where $j_k = 1$ or 2 , U is an arbitrary nonsingular matrix that commutes with J , and for each j there exist i and k , depending on j , such that $\lambda_i = \lambda_k$ while $j_i \neq j_k$.

Proof. The proof consists of showing that for the square roots (1.25) for which $j_i = j_k$ whenever $\lambda_i = \lambda_k$,

$$U \operatorname{diag}(L_1^{(j_1)}, L_2^{(j_2)}, \dots, L_p^{(j_p)})U^{-1} = \operatorname{diag}(L_1^{(j_1)}, L_2^{(j_2)}, \dots, L_p^{(j_p)}),$$

that is, U commutes with the block diagonal matrix in the middle. This commutativity follows from the explicit form for U provided by Theorem 1.25 and the fact that upper triangular Toeplitz matrices commute. \square

Theorem 1.26 shows that the square roots of a nonsingular matrix fall into two classes. The first class comprises finitely many primary square roots, which are “isolated”, being characterized by the fact that the sum of any two of their eigenvalues is nonzero. The second class, which may be empty, comprises a finite number of parametrized families of matrices, each family containing infinitely many square roots sharing the same spectrum.

Theorem 1.26 has two specific implications of note. First, if $\lambda_k \neq 0$ then the two upper triangular square roots of $J_k(\lambda_k)$ given by (1.4) with f the square root function are the only square roots of $J_k(\lambda_k)$. Second, if A is nonsingular and nonderogatory, that is, none of the s distinct eigenvalues appears in more than one Jordan block, then A has precisely 2^s square roots, each of which is a primary function of A .

There is no analogue of Theorems 1.24 and 1.26 for singular A . Indeed the Jordan block structure of a square root (when one exists) can be very different from that of A . The search for square roots X of a singular matrix is aided by Theorem 1.36 below, which helps identify the possible Jordan forms of X ; see Problem 1.29.

Analogous results, with analogous proofs, hold for the matrix logarithm.

Theorem 1.27 (Gantmacher). *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be nonsingular with the Jordan canonical form (1.2). Then all solutions to $e^X = A$ are given by*

$$X = ZU \operatorname{diag}(L_1^{(j_1)}, L_2^{(j_2)}, \dots, L_p^{(j_p)})U^{-1}Z^{-1},$$

where

$$L_k^{(j_k)} = \log(J_k(\lambda_k)) + 2j_k \pi i I_{m_k}; \quad (1.28)$$

$\log(J_k(\lambda_k))$ denotes (1.4) with the f the principal branch of the logarithm, defined by $\operatorname{Im}(\log(z)) \in (-\pi, \pi]$; j_k is an arbitrary integer; and U is an arbitrary nonsingular matrix that commutes with J . \square

Theorem 1.28 (classification of logarithms). *Let the nonsingular matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ have the Jordan canonical form (1.2) with p Jordan blocks, and let $s \leq p$ be the number of distinct eigenvalues of A . Then $e^X = A$ has a countable infinity of solutions that are primary functions of A , given by*

$$X_j = Z \operatorname{diag}(L_1^{(j_1)}, L_2^{(j_2)}, \dots, L_p^{(j_p)})Z^{-1},$$

where $L_1^{(j_1)}$ is defined in (1.28), corresponding to all possible choices of the integers j_1, \dots, j_p , subject to the constraint that $j_i = j_k$ whenever $\lambda_i = \lambda_k$.

If $s < p$ then $e^X = A$ has nonprimary solutions. They form parametrized families

$$X_j(U) = ZU \operatorname{diag}(L_1^{(j_1)}, L_2^{(j_2)}, \dots, L_p^{(j_p)})U^{-1}Z^{-1},$$

where j_k is an arbitrary integer, U is an arbitrary nonsingular matrix that commutes with J , and for each j there exist i and k , depending on j , such that $\lambda_i = \lambda_k$ while $j_i \neq j_k$. \square

1.7. Principal Square Root and Logarithm

Among the square roots and logarithms of a matrix, the principal square root and principal logarithm are distinguished by their usefulness in theory and in applications. We denote by \mathbb{R}^- the closed negative real axis.

Theorem 1.29 (principal square root). *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ have no eigenvalues on \mathbb{R}^- . There is a unique square root X of A all of whose eigenvalues lie in the open right half-plane, and it is a primary matrix function of A . We refer to X as the principal square root of A and write $X = A^{1/2}$. If A is real then $A^{1/2}$ is real.*

Proof. Note first that a nonprimary square root of A , if one exists, must have eigenvalues μ_i and μ_j with $\mu_i = -\mu_j$, and hence the eigenvalues cannot all lie in the open right half-plane. Therefore only a primary square root can have spectrum in the open right half-plane. Since A has no eigenvalues on \mathbb{R}^- , it is clear from Theorem 1.26 that there is precisely one primary square root of A whose eigenvalues all lie in the open right half-plane. Hence the existence and uniqueness of $A^{1/2}$ is established. That $A^{1/2}$ is real when A is real follows from Theorem 1.18 or Remark 1.9. \square

See Problem 1.27 for an extension of Theorem 1.29 that allows A to be singular.

Corollary 1.30. *A Hermitian positive definite matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ has a unique Hermitian positive definite square root.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.29 the only possible Hermitian positive definite square root is $A^{1/2}$. That $A^{1/2}$ is Hermitian positive definite follows from the expression $A^{1/2} = QD^{1/2}Q^*$, where $A = QDQ^*$ is a spectral decomposition (Q unitary, D diagonal), with D having positive diagonal entries. \square

For a proof of the corollary from first principles see Problem 1.41.

Theorem 1.31 (principal logarithm). *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ have no eigenvalues on \mathbb{R}^- . There is a unique logarithm X of A all of whose eigenvalues lie in the strip $\{z : -\pi < \operatorname{Im}(z) < \pi\}$. We refer to X as the principal logarithm of A and write $X = \log(A)$. If A is real then its principal logarithm is real.*

Proof. The proof is entirely analogous to that of Theorem 1.29. \square

1.8. $f(AB)$ and $f(BA)$

Although the matrices AB and BA are generally different, their Jordan structures are closely related. We show in this section that for arbitrary functions f , $f(AB)$ and $f(BA)$ also enjoy a close relationship—one that can be exploited both in theory and computationally. Underlying all these relations is the fact that for any polynomial p , and any A and B for which the products AB and BA are defined,

$$Ap(BA) = p(AB)A. \quad (1.29)$$

This equality is trivial for monomials and follows immediately for general polynomials.

First we recap a result connecting the Jordan structures of AB and BA . We denote by $z_i(X)$ the nonincreasing sequence of the sizes z_1, z_2, \dots , of the Jordan blocks corresponding to the zero eigenvalues of the square matrix X .

Theorem 1.32 (Flanders). *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$. The nonzero eigenvalues of AB are the same as those of BA and have the same Jordan structure. For the zero eigenvalues (if any), $|z_i(AB) - z_i(BA)| \leq 1$ for all i , where the shorter sequence is appended with zeros as necessary, and any such set of inequalities is attained for some A and B . If $m \neq n$ then the larger (in dimension) of AB and BA has a zero eigenvalue of geometric multiplicity at least $|m - n|$.*

Proof. See Problem 1.43. \square

Theorem 1.33. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and let f be defined on the spectrum of both A and B . Then there is a single polynomial p such that $f(A) = p(A)$ and $f(B) = p(B)$.*

Proof. Let p be the Hermite interpolating polynomial satisfying the union of the interpolation conditions (1.7) for A with those for B . Let r be the Hermite interpolating polynomial to f on the spectrum of A . Then p and r take the same values on the spectrum of A , so $f(A) := r(A) = p(A)$. By the same argument with A and B interchanged, $f(B) = p(B)$, as required. \square

Corollary 1.34. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ and let f be defined on the spectra of both AB and BA . Then*

$$Af(BA) = f(AB)A. \quad (1.30)$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.33 there is a single polynomial p such that $f(AB) = p(AB)$ and $f(BA) = p(BA)$. Hence, using (1.29),

$$Af(BA) = Ap(BA) = p(AB)A = f(AB)A. \quad \square$$

When A and B are square and A , say, is nonsingular, another proof of Corollary 1.34 is as follows: $AB = A(BA)A^{-1}$ so $f(AB) = Af(BA)A^{-1}$, or $f(AB)A = Af(BA)$.

As a special case of the corollary, when AB (and hence also BA) has no eigenvalues on \mathbb{R}^- (which implies that A and B are square, in view of Theorem 1.32),

$$A(BA)^{1/2} = (AB)^{1/2}A.$$

In fact, this equality holds also when AB has a semisimple zero eigenvalue and the definition of $A^{1/2}$ is extended as in Problem 1.27.

Corollary 1.34 is useful for converting $f(AB)$ into $f(BA)$ within an expression, and vice versa; see, for example, (2.26), the proof of Theorem 6.11, and (8.5). However, when $m > n$, (1.30) cannot be directly solved to give an expression for $f(AB)$ in terms of $f(BA)$, because (1.30) is an underdetermined system for $f(AB)$. The next result gives such an expression, and in more generality.

Theorem 1.35. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, with $m \geq n$, and assume that BA is nonsingular. Let f be defined on the spectrum of $\alpha I_m + AB$, and if $m = n$ let f be defined at α . Then*

$$f(\alpha I_m + AB) = f(\alpha)I_m + A(BA)^{-1}(f(\alpha I_n + BA) - f(\alpha)I_n)B. \quad (1.31)$$

Proof. Note first that by Theorem 1.32, the given assumption on f implies that f is defined on the spectrum of $\alpha I_n + BA$ and at α .

Let $g(t) = f[\alpha + t, \alpha] = t^{-1}(f(\alpha + t) - f(\alpha))$, so that $f(\alpha + t) = f(\alpha) + tg(t)$. Then, using Corollary 1.34,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha I_m + AB) &= f(\alpha)I_m + ABg(AB) \\ &= f(\alpha)I_m + Ag(BA)B \\ &= f(\alpha)I_m + A(BA)^{-1}(f(\alpha I_n + BA) - f(\alpha)I_n)B, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

This result is of particular interest when $m > n$, for it converts the $f(\alpha I_m + AB)$ problem—a function evaluation of an $m \times m$ matrix—into the problem of evaluating f and the inverse on $n \times n$ matrices. Some special cases of the result are as follows.

(a) With $n = 1$, we recover (1.16) (albeit with the restriction $v^*u \neq 0$).

(b) With f the inverse function and $\alpha = 1$, (1.31) yields, after a little manipulation, the formula $(I + AB)^{-1} = I - A(I + BA)^{-1}B$, which is often found in textbook exercises. This formula in turn yields the Sherman–Morrison–Woodbury formula (B.12) on writing $A + UV^* = A(I + A^{-1}U \cdot V^*)$. Conversely, when f is analytic we can obtain (1.31) by applying the Sherman–Morrison–Woodbury formula to the Cauchy integral formula (1.12). However, Theorem 1.35 does not require analyticity.

As an application of Theorem 1.35, we now derive a formula for $f(\alpha I_n + uv^* + xy^*)$, where $u, v, x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$, thereby extending (1.16) to the rank-2 case. Write

$$uv^* + xy^* = \begin{bmatrix} u & x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v^* \\ y^* \end{bmatrix} \equiv AB.$$

Then

$$C := BA = \begin{bmatrix} v^*u & v^*x \\ y^*u & y^*x \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}.$$

Hence

$$f(\alpha I_n + uv^* + xy^*) = f(\alpha)I_n + \begin{bmatrix} u & x \end{bmatrix} C^{-1}(f(\alpha I_2 + C) - f(\alpha)I_2) \begin{bmatrix} v^* \\ y^* \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.32)$$

The evaluation of both C^{-1} and $f(\alpha I_2 + C)$ can be done explicitly (see Problem 1.9 for the latter), so (1.32) gives a computable formula that can, for example, be used for testing algorithms for the computation of matrix functions.

1.9. Miscellany

In this section we give a selection of miscellaneous results that either are needed elsewhere in the book or are of independent interest.

The first result gives a complete description of the Jordan canonical form of $f(A)$ in terms of that of A . In particular, it shows that under the action of f a Jordan block $J(\lambda)$ splits into at least two smaller Jordan blocks if $f'(\lambda) = 0$.

Theorem 1.36 (Jordan structure of $f(A)$). *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with eigenvalues λ_k , and let f be defined on the spectrum of A .*

(a) *If $f'(\lambda_k) \neq 0$ then for every Jordan block $J(\lambda_k)$ in A there is a Jordan block of the same size in $f(A)$ associated with $f(\lambda_k)$.*

(b) *Let $f'(\lambda_k) = f''(\lambda_k) = \cdots = f^{(\ell-1)}(\lambda_k) = 0$ but $f^{(\ell)}(\lambda_k) \neq 0$, where $\ell \geq 2$, and consider a Jordan block $J(\lambda_k)$ of size r in A .*

(i) *If $\ell \geq r$, $J(\lambda_k)$ splits into r 1×1 Jordan blocks associated with $f(\lambda_k)$ in $f(A)$.*

(ii) *If $\ell \leq r - 1$, $J(\lambda_k)$ splits into the following Jordan blocks associated with $f(\lambda_k)$ in $f(A)$:*

- $\ell - q$ Jordan blocks of size p ,
- q Jordan blocks of size $p + 1$,

where $r = \ell p + q$ with $0 \leq q \leq \ell - 1$, $p > 0$.

Proof. We prove just the first part. From Definition 1.2 it is clear that f either preserves the size of a Jordan block $J_k(\lambda_k) \in \mathbb{C}^{m_k \times m_k}$ of A —that is, $f(J_k(\lambda_k))$ has Jordan form $J_k(f(\lambda_k)) \in \mathbb{C}^{m_k \times m_k}$ —or splits $J_k(\lambda_k)$ into two or more smaller blocks, each with eigenvalue $f(\lambda_k)$. When $f'(\lambda_k) \neq 0$, (1.4) shows that $f(J_k(\lambda_k)) - f(\lambda_k)I$ has rank $m_k - 1$, which implies that f does not split the block $J_k(\lambda_k)$. When $f'(\lambda_k) = 0$, it is clear from (1.4) that $f(J_k(\lambda_k)) - f(\lambda_k)I$ has rank at most $m_k - 2$, which implies that $f(J_k(\lambda_k))$ has at least two Jordan blocks. For proofs of the precise splitting details, see Horn and Johnson [296, 1991, Thm. 6.2.25] or Lancaster and Tismenetsky [371, 1985, Thm. 9.4.7]. \square

To illustrate the result, consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is in Jordan form with one Jordan block of size 4. Let

$$f(A) = A^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly $f(A)$ has Jordan form comprising two 1×1 blocks and one 2×2 block. We have $f'(0) = f''(0) = 0$ and $f'''(0) \neq 0$. Applying Theorem 1.36 (b) with $\ell = 3$, $r = 4$, $p = 1$, $q = 1$, the theorem correctly predicts $\ell - q = 2$ Jordan blocks of size

1 and $q = 1$ Jordan block of size 2. For an example of a Jordan block splitting with $f(X) = X^2$, see the matrices (1.23) and (1.24).

Theorem 1.36 is useful when trying to solve nonlinear matrix equations, because once the Jordan form of $f(A)$ is known it narrows down the possible Jordan forms of A ; see, e.g., Problems 1.30 and 1.51.

We noted in Section 1.4 that a nonprimary function of a derogatory A may commute with A but is not a polynomial in A . The next result shows that all matrices that commute with A are polynomials in A precisely when A is nonderogatory—that is, when no eigenvalue appears in more than one Jordan block in the Jordan canonical form of A .

Theorem 1.37. *Every matrix that commutes with $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is a polynomial in A if and only if A is nonderogatory.*

Proof. This result is a consequence of Theorem 1.25. See Lancaster and Tismenetsky [371, 1985, Prop. 12.4.1] for the details. \square

While commuting with A is not sufficient to be a polynomial in A , commuting with every matrix that commutes with A is sufficient.

Theorem 1.38. *$B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ commutes with every matrix that commutes with $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ if and only if B is a polynomial in A .*

Proof. See Horn and Johnson [296, 1991, Thm. 4.4.19]. \square

The following result is useful for finding solutions of a nonlinear matrix equation of the form $f(X) = A$.

Theorem 1.39. *Consider the equation $f(X) = A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.*

(a) *If A is upper triangular and nonderogatory then any solution X is upper triangular.*

(b) *If A is a single Jordan block $J(\lambda)$ then any solution X is upper triangular with constant diagonal elements $x_{ii} \equiv \xi$, where $f(\xi) = \lambda$.*

(c) *If the equation with $A = \theta I$ has a solution X that is not a multiple of I then there are infinitely many solutions to the equation.*

Proof.

(a) The nonderogatory matrix $A = f(X)$ commutes with X so, by Theorem 1.37, X is a polynomial in A , which means that X is upper triangular.

(b) This follows from the proof of (a) on noting that a polynomial in $J(\lambda)$ has constant diagonal.

(c) Since $f(X) = \theta I$, for any nonsingular Z we have $\theta I = Z^{-1}f(X)Z = f(Z^{-1}XZ)$, so $Z^{-1}XZ$ is a solution. The result now follows from the fact that any matrix other than a scalar multiple of the identity shares its Jordan canonical form with infinitely many other matrices. \square

The next result shows that a family of pairwise commuting matrices can be simultaneously unitarily triangularized.

Theorem 1.40. *If $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ satisfy $A_i A_j = A_j A_i$ for all i and j then there exists a unitary $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that $U^* A_i U$ is upper triangular for all i .*

Proof. See Horn and Johnson [295, 1985, Thm. 2.3.3]. \square

We denote by $\lambda_i(A)$ the i th eigenvalue of A in some given ordering.

Corollary 1.41. *Suppose $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $AB = BA$. Then for some ordering of the eigenvalues of A , B , and AB we have $\lambda_i(A \text{ op } B) = \lambda_i(A) \text{ op } \lambda_i(B)$, where $\text{op} = +, -, \text{ or } *$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.40 there exists a unitary U such that $U^*AU = T_A$ and $U^*BU = T_B$ are both upper triangular. Thus $U^*(A \text{ op } B)U = T_A \text{ op } T_B$ is upper triangular with diagonal elements $(T_A)_{ii} \text{ op } (T_B)_{ii}$, as required. \square

This corollary will be used in Section 11.1. Note that for any A and B we have $\text{trace}(A+B) = \text{trace}(A) + \text{trace}(B)$ and $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$, but the conclusion of the corollary for commuting A and B is much stronger.

Related to Theorem 1.40 and Corollary 1.41 are the following characterizations of A and B for which “ $\lambda_i(p(A, B)) = p(\lambda_i(A), \lambda_i(B))$ ”.

Theorem 1.42 (McCoy). *For $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) *There is an ordering of the eigenvalues such that $\lambda_i(p(A, B)) = p(\lambda_i(A), \lambda_i(B))$ for all polynomials of two variables $p(x, y)$.*
- (b) *There exists a unitary $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that U^*AU and U^*BU are upper triangular.*
- (c) *$p(A, B)(AB - BA)$ is nilpotent for all polynomials $p(x, y)$ of two variables.*

Theorem 1.43. *$A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is unitary if and only if $A = e^{iH}$ for some Hermitian H . In this representation H can be taken to be Hermitian positive definite.*

Proof. The Schur decomposition of A has the form $A = QDQ^*$ with Q unitary and $D = \text{diag}(\exp(i\theta_j)) = \exp(i\Theta)$, where $\Theta = \text{diag}(\theta_j) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Hence $A = Q \exp(i\Theta)Q^* = \exp(iQ\Theta Q^*) = \exp(iH)$, where $H = H^*$. Without loss of generality we can take $\theta_j > 0$, whence H is positive definite. \square

Theorem 1.44. *$A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ has the form $A = e^S$ with S real and skew-symmetric if and only if A is real orthogonal with $\det(A) = 1$.*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”: If S is real and skew-symmetric then A is real, $A^T A = e^{-S} e^S = I$, and $\det(e^S) = \exp(\sum \lambda_i(S)) = \exp(0) = 1$, since the eigenvalues of S are either zero or occur in pure imaginary complex conjugate pairs.

“ \Leftarrow ”: If A is real orthogonal then it has the real Schur decomposition $A = QDQ^T$ with Q orthogonal and $D = \text{diag}(D_{ii})$, where each D_{ii} is 1, -1 , or of the form $\begin{bmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ -b_j & a_j \end{bmatrix}$ with $a_j^2 + b_j^2 = 1$. Since $\det(A) = 1$, there is an even number of -1 s, and so we can include the -1 blocks among the $\begin{bmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ -b_j & a_j \end{bmatrix}$ blocks. It is easy to show that

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ -b_j & a_j \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_j & \sin \theta_j \\ -\sin \theta_j & \cos \theta_j \end{bmatrix} = \exp \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \theta_j \\ -\theta_j & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) =: \exp(\Theta_j). \quad (1.33)$$

We now construct a skew-symmetric K such that $D = e^K$: K has the same block structure as D , $k_{ii} = 0$ if $d_{ii} = 1$, and the other blocks have the form Θ_j in (1.33). Hence $A = Qe^K Q^T = e^{QKQ^T} = e^S$, where S is real and skew-symmetric. \square

Theorem 1.45. For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $\det(e^A) = \exp(\text{trace}(A))$.

Proof. We have

$$\det(e^A) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(e^A) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda_i(A)} = e^{\lambda_1(A) + \dots + \lambda_n(A)} = \exp(\text{trace}(A)). \quad \square$$

Note that another way of expressing Theorem 1.45 is that for *any* logarithm of a nonsingular X , $\det(X) = \exp(\text{trace}(\log(X)))$.

1.10. A Brief History of Matrix Functions

Sylvester (1814–1897) [465, 2006] coined the term “matrix” in 1850 [553, 1850]. Cayley (1821–1895) [121, 2006], in his *A Memoir on the Theory of Matrices* [99, 1858], was the first to investigate the algebraic properties of matrices regarded as objects of study in their own right (in contrast with earlier work on bilinear and quadratic forms). Matrix theory was subsequently developed by Cayley, Sylvester, Frobenius, Kronecker, Weierstrass, and others; for details, see [253, 1974], [254, 1977], [255, 1977], [463, 1985].

The study of functions of matrices began in Cayley’s 1858 memoir, which treated the square roots of 2×2 and 3×3 matrices, and he later revisited these cases in [100, 1872]. Laguerre [367, 1867], and later Peano [467, 1888], defined the exponential of a matrix via its power series. The interpolating polynomial definition of $f(A)$ was stated by Sylvester [557, 1883] for $n \times n$ A with distinct eigenvalues λ_i , in the form

$$f(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{A - \lambda_j I}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}.$$

Buchheim gave a derivation of the formula [84, 1884] and then generalized it to multiple eigenvalues using Hermite interpolation [85, 1886].

Weyr [614, 1887] defined $f(A)$ using a power series for f and showed that the series converges if the eigenvalues of A lie within the radius of convergence of the series. Hensel [258, 1926] obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for convergence when one or more eigenvalues lies on the circle of convergence (see Theorem 4.7).

Metzler [424, 1892] defined the transcendental functions e^A , $\log(A)$, $\sin(A)$, and $\arcsin(A)$, all via power series.

The Cauchy integral representation was anticipated by Frobenius [195, 1896], who states that if f is analytic then $f(A)$ is the sum of the residues of $(zI - A)^{-1}f(z)$ at the eigenvalues of A . Poincaré [473, 1899] uses the Cauchy integral representation, and this way of defining $f(A)$ was proposed in a letter from Cartan to Giorgi, circa 1928 [216, 1928].

The Jordan canonical form definition is due to Giorgi [216, 1928]; Cipolla [109, 1932] extended it to produce nonprimary matrix functions.

Probably the first book (actually a booklet) to be written on matrix functions is that of Schwerdtfeger [513, 1938]. With the same notation as in Definitions 1.2 and 1.4 he defines

$$f(A) = \sum_{i=1}^s A_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \frac{f^{(j)}(\lambda_i)}{j!} (A - \lambda_i I)^j,$$

where the A_i are the Frobenius covariants: $A_i = Z \text{diag}(g_i(J_k))Z^{-1}$, where $g_i(J_k) = I$ if λ_i is an eigenvalue of J_k and $g_i(J_k) = 0$ otherwise, where $A = Z \text{diag}(J_k)Z^{-1}$ is the

Jordan canonical form. This is just a rewritten form of the expression for $f(A)$ given by Definition 1.2 or by the Lagrange–Hermite formula (1.8). It can be restated as

$$f(A) = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} f^{(j)}(\lambda_i) Z_{ij},$$

where the Z_{ij} depend on A but not on f . For more details on these formulae see Horn and Johnson [296, 1991, pp. 401–404, 438] and Lancaster and Tismenetsky [371, 1985, Sec. 9.5].

The equivalence of all the above definitions of $f(A)$ (modulo their different levels of generality) was first shown by Rinehart [493, 1955] (see the quote at the end of the chapter).

One of the earliest uses of matrices in practical applications was by Frazer, Duncan, and Collar of the Aerodynamics Department of the National Physical Laboratory (NPL), England, who were developing matrix methods for analyzing flutter (unwanted vibrations) in aircraft. Their book *Elementary Matrices and Some Applications to Dynamics and Differential Equations* [193, 1938] emphasizes the important role of the matrix exponential in solving differential equations and was “the first to employ matrices as an engineering tool” [71, 1987], and indeed “the first book to treat matrices as a branch of applied mathematics” [112, 1978].

Early books with substantial material on matrix functions are Turnbull and Aitken [579, 1932, Sec. 6.6–6.8]; Wedderburn [611, 1934, Chap. 8], which has a useful bibliography arranged by year, covering 1853–1933; MacDuffee [399, 1946, Chap. IX], which gives a concise summary of early work with meticulous attribution of results; Ferrar [184, 1951, Chap. 5]; and Hamburger and Grimshaw [245, 1951]. Papers with useful historical summaries include Afriat [5, 1959] and Heuvers and Moak [259, 1987].

Interest in computing matrix functions grew rather slowly following the advent of the digital computer. As the histogram on page 379 indicates, the literature expanded rapidly starting in the 1970s, and interest in the theory and computation of matrix functions shows no signs of abating, spurred by the growing number of applications. A landmark paper is Moler and Van Loan’s “Nineteen Dubious Ways to Compute the Exponential of a Matrix” [437, 1978], [438, 2003], which masterfully organizes and assesses the many different ways of approaching the e^A problem. In particular, it explains why many of the methods that have been (and continue to be) published are unsuitable for finite precision computation.

The “problem solving environments” MATLAB, Maple, and Mathematica have been invaluable for practitioners using matrix functions and numerical analysts developing algorithms for computing them. The original 1978 version of MATLAB included the capability to evaluate the exponential, the logarithm, and several other matrix functions. The availability of matrix functions in MATLAB and its competitors has undoubtedly encouraged the use of succinct, matrix function-based solutions to problems in science and engineering.

1.11. Notes and References

The theory of functions of a matrix is treated in a number of books, of which several are of particular note. The most encyclopedic treatment is given by Horn and Johnson [296, 1991, Chap. 6], who devote a chapter of 179 pages to the subject. A more concise but very elegant exposition emphasizing the interpolation definition

is given by Lancaster and Tismenetsky [371, 1985, Chap. 9]. A classic reference is Gantmacher [203, 1959, Chap. 5]. Golub and Van Loan [224, 1996, Chap. 11] briefly treat the theory before turning to computational matters. Linear algebra and matrix analysis textbooks with a significant component on $f(A)$ include Cullen [125, 1972], Pullman [481, 1976], and Meyer [426, 2000].

For more details on the Jordan canonical form see Horn and Johnson [295, 1985, Chap. 3] and Lancaster and Tismenetsky [371, 1985, Chap. 6].

Almost every textbook on numerical analysis contains a treatment of polynomial interpolation for distinct nodes, including the Lagrange form (1.9) and the Newton divided difference form (1.10). Textbook treatments of Hermite interpolation are usually restricted to once-repeated nodes; for the general case see, for example, Horn and Johnson [296, 1991, Sec. 6.1.14] and Stoer and Bulirsch [542, 2002, Sec. 2.1.5].

For the theory of functions of operators (sometimes called the holomorphic functional calculus), see Davies [133, 2007], Dunford and Schwartz [172, 1971], [171, 1988], and Kato [337, 1976].

Functions of the DFT matrix, and in particular fractional powers, are considered by Dickinson and Steiglitz [151, 1982], who obtain a formula equivalent to (1.18). Much has been written about fractional transforms, mainly in the engineering literature; for the fractional discrete cosine transform, for example, see Cariolaro, Erseghe, and Kraniuskas [96, 2002].

Theorems 1.15–1.17 can be found in Lancaster and Tismenetsky [371, 1985, Sec. 9.7].

Theorem 1.18 is from Higham, Mackey, Mackey, and Tisseur [283, 2005, Thm. 3.2]. The sufficient condition of Remark 1.9 and the equivalence (c) \equiv (d) in Theorem 1.18 can be found in Richter [491, 1950].

Different characterizations of the reality of $f(A)$ for real A can be found in Evard and Uhlig [179, 1992, Sec. 4] and Horn and Piepmeyer [298, 2003].

The terminology “primary matrix function” has been popularized through its use by Horn and Johnson [296, 1991, Chap. 6], but the term was used much earlier by Rinehart [495, 1960] and Cullen [125, 1972].

A number of early papers investigate square roots and p th roots of (singular) matrices, including Taber [561, 1890], Metzler [424, 1892], Frobenius [195, 1896], Kreis [363, 1908], Baker [40, 1925], and Richter [491, 1950], and Wedderburn’s book also treats the topic [611, 1934, Secs. 8.04–8.06].

Theorem 1.24 is a special case of a result of Gantmacher for p th roots [203, 1959, Sec. 8.6]. Theorem 1.26 is from Higham [268, 1987]. Theorem 1.27 is from [203, 1959, Sec. 8.8].

Theorem 1.32 is proved by Flanders [188, 1951]. Alternative proofs are given by Thompson [566, 1968] and Horn and Merino [297, 1995, Sec. 6]; see also Johnson and Schreiner [321, 1996].

We derived Theorem 1.35 as a generalization of (1.16) while writing this book; our original proof is given in Problem 1.45. Harris [249, 1993, Lem. 2] gives the result for $\alpha = 0$ and f a holomorphic function, with the same method of proof that we have given. The special case of Theorem 1.35 with f the exponential and $\alpha = 0$ is given by Celledoni and Iserles [102, 2000].

Formulae for a rational function of a general matrix plus a rank-1 perturbation, $r(C + uv^*)$, are derived by Bernstein and Van Loan [61, 2000]. These are more complicated and less explicit than (1.31), though not directly comparable with it since C need not be a multiple of the identity. The formulae involve the coefficients of r and so cannot be conveniently applied to an arbitrary function f by using “ $f(A) = p(A)$ ”

for some polynomial p .”

Theorem 1.42 is due to McCoy [415, 1936]. See also Drazin, Dungey, and Grunberg [164, 1951] for a more elementary proof and the discussions of Taussky [564, 1957], [565, 1988]. A complete treatment of simultaneous triangularization is given in the book by Radjavi and Rosenthal [483, 2000].

Problems

The only way to learn mathematics is to do mathematics.
— PAUL R. HALMOS, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book* (1982)

1.1. Show that the value of $f(A)$ given by Definition 1.2 is independent of the particular Jordan canonical form that is used.

1.2. Let J_k be the Jordan block (1.2b). Show that

$$f(-J_k) = \begin{bmatrix} f(-\lambda_k) & -f'(-\lambda_k) & \cdots & (-1)^{m_k-1} \frac{f^{(m_k-1)}(-\lambda_k)}{(m_k-1)!} \\ & f(-\lambda_k) & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & -f'(-\lambda_k) \\ & & & f(-\lambda_k) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.34)$$

1.3. (Cullen [125, 1972, Thm. 8.9]) Define $f(A)$ by the Jordan canonical form definition. Use Theorem 1.38 and the property $f(XAX^{-1}) = Xf(A)X^{-1}$ to show that $f(A)$ is a polynomial in A .

1.4. (a) Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ have an eigenvalue λ and corresponding eigenvector x . Show that $(f(\lambda), x)$ is a corresponding eigenpair for $f(A)$.

(b) Suppose A has constant row sums α , that is, $Ae = \alpha e$, where $e = [1, 1, \dots, 1]^T$. Show that $f(A)$ has row sums $f(\alpha)$. Deduce the corresponding result for column sums.

1.5. Show that the minimal polynomial ψ of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ exists, is unique, and has degree at most n .

1.6. (Turnbull and Aitken [579, 1932, p. 75]) Show that if $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ has minimal polynomial $\psi(A) = A^2 - A - I$ then $(I - \frac{1}{3}A)^{-1} = \frac{3}{5}(A + 2I)$.

1.7. (Pullman [481, 1976, p. 56]) The matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 & -2 & 4 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

has minimal polynomial $\psi(t) = (t-1)^2(t-2)$. Find $\cos(\pi A)$.

1.8. Find the characteristic polynomial and the minimal polynomial of the nonzero rank-1 matrix $uv^* \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.

1.9. Use (1.11) to give an explicit formula for $f(A)$ for $A \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ requiring knowledge only of the eigenvalues of A .

1.10. Let $J = ee^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ denote the matrix of 1s. Show using Definition 1.4 that

$$f(\alpha I + \beta J) = f(\alpha)I + n^{-1}(f(\alpha + n\beta) - f(\alpha))J.$$

1.11. What are the interpolation conditions (1.7) for the polynomial p such that $p(A) = A$?

1.12. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ have only two distinct eigenvalues, λ_1 and λ_2 , both semisimple. Obtain an explicit formula for $f(A)$.

1.13. Show using each of the three definitions (1.2), (1.4), and (1.11) of $f(A)$ that $AB = BA$ implies $f(A)B = Bf(A)$.

1.14. For a given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and a given function f explain how to reliably compute in floating point arithmetic a polynomial p such that $f(A) = p(A)$.

1.15. Show how to obtain the formula (1.14) from Definition 1.2 when $v^*u = 0$ with $uv^* \neq 0$.

1.16. Prove the formula (1.16) for $f(\alpha I + uv^*)$. Use this formula to derive the Sherman–Morrison formula (B.11).

1.17. Use (1.16) to obtain an explicit formula for $f(A)$ for $A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I_{n-1} & c \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Check your result against Theorem 1.21.

1.18. (Schwerdtfeger [513, 1938]) Let p be a polynomial and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Show that $p(A) = 0$ if and only if $p(t)(tI - A)^{-1}$ is a polynomial in t . Deduce the Cayley–Hamilton theorem.

1.19. Cayley actually discovered a more general version of the Cayley–Hamilton theorem, which appears in a letter to Sylvester but not in any of his published work [120, 1978], [121, 2006, p. 470], [464, 1998, Letter 44]. Prove his general version: if $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $AB = BA$, and $f(x, y) = \det(xA - yB)$ then $f(B, A) = 0$. Is the commutativity condition necessary?

1.20. Let f satisfy $f(-z) = \pm f(z)$. Show that $f(-A) = \pm f(A)$ whenever the primary matrix functions $f(A)$ and $f(-A)$ are defined. (Hint: Problem 1.2 can be used.)

1.21. Let $P \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be idempotent ($P^2 = P$). Show that $f(aI + bP) = f(a)I + (f(a+b) - f(a))P$.

1.22. Is $f(A) = A^*$ possible for a suitable choice of f ? Consider, for example, $f(\lambda) = \bar{\lambda}$.

1.23. Verify the Cauchy integral formula (1.12) in the case $f(\lambda) = \lambda^j$ and $A = J_n(0)$, the Jordan block with zero eigenvalue.

1.24. Show from first principles that for $\lambda_k \neq 0$ a Jordan block $J_k(\lambda_k)$ has exactly two upper triangular square roots. (There are in fact only two square roots of any form, as shown by Theorem 1.26.)

1.25. (Davies [131, 2007]) Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ($n > 1$) be nilpotent of index n (that is, $A^n = 0$ but $A^{n-1} \neq 0$). Show that A has no square root but that $A + cA^{n-1}$ is a square root of A^2 for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Describe all such A .

1.26. Suppose that $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ commutes with $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, and let A have the Jordan canonical form $Z^{-1}AZ = \text{diag}(J_1, J_2, \dots, J_p) = J$. Is $Z^{-1}XZ$ block diagonal with blocking conformable with that of J ?

1.27. (Extension of Theorem 1.29.) Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ have no eigenvalues on \mathbb{R}^- except possibly for a semisimple zero eigenvalue. Show that there is a unique square root X of A that is a primary matrix function of A and whose nonzero eigenvalues lie in the open right half-plane. Show that if A is real then X is real.

1.28. Investigate the square roots of the upper triangular matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ & 1 & 1 \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

1.29. Find all the square roots of the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(which is the matrix in (1.23)). Hint: use Theorem 1.36.

1.30. Show that if $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ has a defective zero eigenvalue (i.e., a zero eigenvalue appearing in a Jordan block of size greater than 1) then A does not have a square root that is a polynomial in A .

1.31. The symmetric positive definite matrix A with $a_{ij} = \min(i, j)$ has a square root X with

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & i + j \leq n, \\ 1, & i + j > n. \end{cases}$$

For example,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Is X a primary square root of A ? Explain how X fits in with the theory of matrix square roots.

1.32. Show that any square root or logarithm X of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ (primary or non-primary) commutes with A . Show further that if A is nonderogatory then X is a polynomial in A .

1.33. Find a logarithm of the upper triangular Toeplitz matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2!} & \frac{1}{3!} & \cdots & \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \\ & 1 & \frac{1}{2!} & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & \ddots & \frac{1}{2!} \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence find all the logarithms of A .

1.34. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ have no eigenvalues on \mathbb{R}^- . Show that $A^{1/2} = e^{\frac{1}{2} \log A}$, where the logarithm is the principal logarithm.

1.35. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $AB = BA$. Is it true that $(AB)^{1/2} = A^{1/2}B^{1/2}$ when the square roots are defined?

1.36. (Hille [290, 1958]) Show that if $e^A = e^B$ and no two elements of $\Lambda(A)$ differ by a nonzero integer multiple of $2\pi i$ then $AB = BA$. Given an example to show that this conclusion need not be true without the assumption on $\Lambda(A)$.

1.37. Show that if $e^A = e^B$ and no eigenvalue of A differs from an eigenvalue of B by a nonzero integer multiple of $2\pi i$ then $A = B$.

1.38. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be nonsingular. Show that if f is an even function ($f(z) = f(-z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$) then $f(\sqrt{A})$ is the same for all choices of square root (primary or nonprimary). Show that if f is an odd function ($f(-z) = -f(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$) then $\sqrt{A}^{\pm 1} f(\sqrt{A})$ is the same for all choices of square root.

1.39. Show that for $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $\log(e^A) = A$ if and only if $|\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_i)| < \pi$ for every eigenvalue λ_i of A , where \log denotes the principal logarithm. (Since $\rho(A) \leq \|A\|$ for any consistent norm, $\|A\| < \pi$ is sufficient for the equality to hold.)

1.40. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and let f and g be functions such that $g(f(A)) = A$ and $g(f(B)) = B$. Assume also that B and $f(A)$ are nonsingular. Show that $f(A)f(B) = f(B)f(A)$ implies $AB = BA$. For example, if the spectra of A and B lie in the open right half-plane we can take $f(x) = x^2$ and $g(x) = x^{1/2}$, or if $\rho(A) < \pi$ and $\rho(B) < \pi$ we can take $f(x) = e^x$ and $g(x) = \log x$ (see Problem 1.39).

1.41. Give a proof from first principles (without using the theory of matrix functions developed in this chapter) that a Hermitian positive definite matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ has a unique Hermitian positive definite square root.

1.42. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ have no eigenvalues on \mathbb{R}^- . Given that A has a square root X with eigenvalues in the open right half-plane and that X is a polynomial in A , show from first principles, and without using any matrix decompositions, that X is the *unique* square root with eigenvalues in the open right half-plane.

1.43. Prove the first and last parts of Theorem 1.32. (For the rest, see the sources cited in the Notes and References.)

1.44. Give another proof of Corollary 1.34 for $m \neq n$ by using the identity

$$\begin{bmatrix} AB & 0 \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_m & A \\ 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_m & A \\ 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B & BA \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.35)$$

(which is (1.36) below with $\alpha = 0$). What additional hypotheses are required for this proof?

1.45. Give another proof of Theorem 1.35 based on the identity

$$\begin{bmatrix} AB + \alpha I_m & 0 \\ B & \alpha I_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_m & A \\ 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_m & A \\ 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha I_m & 0 \\ B & BA + \alpha I_n \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.36)$$

What additional hypotheses are required for this proof?

1.46. Show that Corollary 1.34 can be obtained from Theorem 1.35.

1.47. Can (1.31) be generalized to $f(D+AB)$ with $D \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ diagonal by “replacing αI by D ”?

1.48. (Klosinski, Alexanderson, and Larson [355, 1991]) If A and B are $n \times n$ matrices does $ABAB = 0$ imply $BABA = 0$?

1.49. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$. Show that $\det(I_m + AB) = \det(I_n + BA)$.

1.50. (Borwein, Bailey, and Girgensohn [77, 2004, p. 216]) Does the equation $\sin A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1996 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ have a solution? (This was Putnam Problem 1996-B4.)

1.51. Show that the equation

$$\cosh(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & a & \dots & a \\ & 1 & a & \dots & a \\ & & 1 & \dots & \vdots \\ & & & \ddots & a \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$$

has no solutions for $a \neq 0$ and $n > 1$.

1.52. An interesting application of the theory of matrix functions is to the Drazin inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, which can be defined as the unique matrix A^D satisfying $A^D A A^D = A^D$, $A A^D = A^D A$, $A^{k+1} A^D = A^k$, where k is the index of A (see Section B.2). If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ has index k then it can be written

$$A = P \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}, \quad (1.37)$$

where B is nonsingular and N is nilpotent of index k (and hence has dimension at least k), and then

$$A^D = P \begin{bmatrix} B^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}.$$

- (a) For what function f is $A^D = f(A)$?
- (b) Show that if p is a polynomial such that for B in (1.37), $B^{-1} = p(B)$, then $A^D = A^k p(A)^{k+1}$.
- (c) Determine $(uv^*)^D$, for nonzero $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

1.53. How might the definition of $f(A)$ be extended to rectangular matrices?

After developing some properties of "linear transformations" in earlier papers, Cayley finally wrote "A Memoir on the Theory of Matrices" in 1858 in which a matrix is considered as a single mathematical quantity.

This paper gives Cayley considerable claim to the honor of introducing the modern concept of matrix, although the name is due to Sylvester (1850).

— CYRUS COLTON MACDUFFEE, *Vectors and Matrices* (1943)

It will be convenient to introduce here a notion . . . namely that of the latent roots of a matrix. . .

There results the important theorem that the latent roots of any function of a matrix are respectively the same functions of the latent roots of the matrix itself.

— J. J. SYLVESTER, *On the Equation to the Secular Inequalities in the Planetary Theory* (1883)

There have been proposed in the literature since 1880 eight distinct definitions of a matrix function, by Weyr, Sylvester and Buchheim, Giorgi, Cartan, Fantappiè, Cipolla, Schwerdtfeger and Richter . . .

All of the definitions except those of Weyr and Cipolla are essentially equivalent.

— R. F. RINEHART, *The Equivalence of Definitions of a Matrix Function* (1955)

I have not thought it necessary to undertake the labour of a formal proof of the theorem in the general case of a matrix of any degree.³

— ARTHUR CAYLEY, *A Memoir on the Theory of Matrices* (1858)

On reaching the chapter on functions of matrices I found that, starting from a few 'well-known' facts, the theory unfolded itself naturally and easily, but that only patches of it here and there appeared to have been published before.

— W. L. FERRAR, *Finite Matrices* (1951)

If one had to identify the two most important topics in a mathematics degree programme, they would have to be calculus and matrix theory. Noncommutative multiplication underlies the whole of quantum theory and is at the core of some of the most exciting current research in both mathematics and physics.

— E. BRIAN DAVIES, *Science in the Looking Glass* (2003)

³Re the Cayley–Hamilton theorem.