Exploiting Matrix Reuse and Data Locality in Sparse Matrix-Vector and Matrix-Transpose-Vector Multiplication on Many-Core Architectures

Ozan Karsavuran¹

Kadir Akbudak (speaker) ²

Cevdet Aykanat¹

sites.google.com/site/kadircs kadir.cs@gmail.com

¹Bilkent University, Turkey

²KAUST, KSA

SIAM Workshop on Combinatorial Scientific Computing (CSC), Albuquerque, NM, USA, October 10-12, 2016

O. Karsavuran, K. Akbudak, and C. Aykanat, Locality-Aware Parallel Sparse Matrix-Vector and Matrix-Transpose-Vector Multiplication on Many-Core Architectures, IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems (TPDS), vol. 27(6), pp. 1713-1726, 2016, available at

ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7152923/

1 Introduction:
$$y = AA^T x$$



- Parallel SpAA^T based on 1D partitioning of A and A^T matrices
 Quality criteria for efficient parallelization of SpAA^T
 Proposed SpAA^T algorithms
 - Experiments



 \Box Introduction: $y = AA^T x$

Thread-level parallelization of $y = AA^T x$ (SpAA^T)

• $y = AA^T x$ is computed as two Sparse Matrix-Vector Multiplies (SpMV)





Sparse Matrix-Transpose-Vector Multiply (SpA^T)

• v = Az

Sparse Matrix-Vector

- Multiply (SpA)• Thread-level parallelization of repeated and consecutive SpA and SpA^{T} that involve the same sparse matrix A
- Examples:
 - Linear Programming (LP) problems via interior point methods
 - nonsymmetric systems via
 - Bi-CG, CGNE, Lanczos Bi-ortagonalization
 - least squares problem via LSQR
 - linear feasibility problem via Surrogate Constraints method
 - Krylov-based balancing algorithms used as preconditioners for sparse eigensolvers
 - web page ranking via HITS algorithm

Open problems

- Utilize the opportunity of reusing A-matrix nonzeros?
- Obtain close performance for both z = A^Tx and y = Az at the same time?
 - Single storage of A for both $z = A^T x$ and y = Az
 - Storage of A^T for $z = A^T x$ and a separate storage of A for y = Az

Related work

- Optimized Sparse Kernel Interface (OSKI), Berkeley
 - Serial
 - Each row/column is reused.



- Compressed Sparse Blocks (CSB) by Buluc et. al. [10]
 - Parallel
 - Same data structure for both ${\rm Sp}A$ and ${\rm Sp}A^{\mathcal{T}}$ operations without any performance degradation
 - $\bullet~$ Two phase, i.e., $\mathrm{Sp}A$ and $\mathrm{Sp}A^{\mathcal{T}}$ are not performed simultaneously

 \Box Parallel SpAA^T based on 1D partitioning of A and A^T matrices



 \Box Parallel SpAA^T based on 1D partitioning of A and A^T matrices

Contributions

- Identify five quality criteria (QC), which have impact on performance of parallel SpAA^T
- Singly-bordered block-diagonal (SB) form based methods: *sb*CRp and sbRCp Matrix A partitioned in to four and the subma- Z_3

trices are processed by four threads.

 Z_4

For *sb*CRp (SB-based Column-Row parallel algorithm), we permute matrix A into a rowwise SB form, which induces a columnwise SB form of matrix A^{T}





 Z_2

For *sb*RCp (SB-based Row-Column parallel algorithm), we permute matrix A into a columnwise SB form, which induces a rowwise SB form of matrix A^{T}

• Achieve (a) (z-vector reuse) and (b) (A-matrix reuse).

 Objectives of minimizing the size of the row/column border in the SB form of $A \approx$ achieve QC (c), (d), and (e) in *sb*CRp/*sb*RCp. 6/14

 \Box Parallel SpAA^T based on 1D partitioning of A and A^T matrices

 \Box Quality criteria for efficient parallelization of $SpAA^T$

Quality criteria for efficient parallelization of $\mathrm{SpAA^{T}}$

| Quality Criteria | RRp | CRp | RCp | <i>sb</i> CRp | <i>sb</i> RCp |
|--|---------------|--------------|------------|---------------|------------------|
| (a) Reusing z-vector entries generated in $z = A^T x$ and | d \times | \checkmark | × | \checkmark | \checkmark^{1} |
| then read in $y = A z$ | | | | | |
| (b) Reusing matrix nonzeros (together with their in dices) in $z = A^T x$ and $y = A z$ | I- × | \checkmark | × | \checkmark | $\sqrt{2}$ |
| (c) Exploiting temporal locality in reading input vector | or \times^3 | \times^3 | \times^3 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| entries in row-parallel SpMVs | | | | | |
| (d) Exploiting temporal locality in updating output vec tor entries in column-parallel SpMVs | | \times^3 | \times^3 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| (e) Minimizing the number of concurrent writes per formed by different threads in column-paralle SpMVs | ~- √ el | × | × | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Z1 Z2 Z3 Z4 | | | | | |



Matrix reuse and data locality in parallel y = A z and $z = A^T x$ — Parallel SpAA^T based on 1D partitioning of A and A^T matrices — Proposed SpAA^T algorithms

- Maintaining balance on the number of nonzeros at each slice
 - Reducing parallel time under arbitrary task scheduling
- Reducing border size

Reducing # of cache misses due to loss of temporal locality

 $\lambda(c_j) = |\{A_k : c_j \text{ has at least one nonzero at } A_k, \ orall k \in 1, \dots, K\}|$



Matrix A partitioned in to three and the submatrices are processed by three threads.

Reducing # of concurrent writes

 $\lambda(r_i) = |\{A_k: r_i \text{ has at least one nonzero at } A_k, \forall k \in 1, \dots, K\}|$

8/14

 \Box Parallel SpAA^T based on 1D partitioning of A and A^T matrices

 \square Proposed SpAA^T algorithms

Merits of Singly-Bordered Block Diagonal (SB) Form on CRp SB Form



- Exploits temporal locality in reading x-vector entries in row parallel $z = A^T x$
- Exploits temporal locality in updating *y*-vector entries in column-parallel *y* = *A z*

Minimizing border size in the SB form

Minimizing number of concurrent writes by different threads in column-parallel y = A z





 \Box Parallel SpAA^T based on 1D partitioning of A and A^T matrices

 \square Proposed SpAA^T algorithms

| Iterative Methods | | CRp | <i>sb</i> CRp | <i>sb</i> RCp | |
|--|---|--------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| | Directly applicable | | | | |
| LP [1, 2] | $z \leftarrow A^T x$ $y \leftarrow Az$ | \checkmark | √ | √ | |
| Directly (no dependency since inner product can be delayed) | | | | | |
| CGNE [3] | $ \begin{array}{c} z \leftarrow q - Ax \\ \beta \leftarrow (z, z)/(q, q) \\ y \leftarrow A^T z \end{array} $ | ~ | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| Directly (linear vector operations without synchronization) | | | | | |
| LSQR [4] | $z \leftarrow Ax w \leftarrow f(z) y \leftarrow A^T w$ | √ | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| Surrogate Constraints ^[5, 6] | $z \leftarrow Ax w \leftarrow f(z) y \leftarrow A^T w$ | ~ | ~ | ~ | |
| Independent SpMVs (the two for loops of <i>sb</i> RCp can be fused.) | | | | | |
| BiCG [3] | $z \leftarrow Ax$ $y \leftarrow A^T w$ | √ | √ | ~ | |
| Lanczos Bi-orthogonalization [3] | $z \leftarrow Ax$ $y \leftarrow A^T w$ | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| HITS [7, 8] | $z \leftarrow Ax \\ y \leftarrow A^T w$ | \checkmark | \checkmark | √ | |
| Krylov-based Balancing [9] | $z \leftarrow Ax \\ y \leftarrow A^T x$ | √ | √ | √ | |
| Not applicable due to inner product and inter-dependency | | | | | |
| CGNR [3] | $\begin{array}{c} \overline{z \leftarrow Ax} \\ \alpha \leftarrow y _2^2 / z _2^2 \\ y \leftarrow A^T \alpha w \end{array}$ | × | × | × | |

11/14

 \square Parallel SpAA^T based on 1D partitioning of A and A^T matrices

Experiments

Performance Results on Intel Xeon Phi

- Average results of 28 sparse matrices from UFL
 - $\bullet~$ Up to 20M nonzeros, 3.5M rows/cols
- Baseline methods
 - RRp, CRp, RCp (OpenMP)
 - RRp with vendor-provided MKL
 - Reverse Cuthill-McKee for QC (c) and (d)
- Proposed methods
 - sbCRp, sbRCp (OpenMP, PaToH-3runs)
- Highly-tuned SpMV libs can be integrated.
- Normalized wrt RRp with original ordering web-BerkStan



| Normalized parallel $\mathrm{SpAA}^{\mathrm{T}}$ times | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|--------------------|------|------|--|
| R | Rp | MKL | | Best of CRp/RCp | | | |
| org | RCM | org | RCM | org | RCM | SB | |
| 1.00 | 0.76 | 1.42 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 0.96 | 0.58 | |

*Smaller the better

**Best of 1, 2, 3, and 4 threads per core



 \square Parallel SpAA^T based on 1D partitioning of A and A^T matrices

Experiments

Performance Profiles

- Proposed methods: sbCRp, sbRCp
- Double storage of A:
 - RRp, MKL
 - Original order, RCM ordering
- Single storage of A:
 - CRp, RCp
 - Original order, RCM ordering



 \Box Parallel SpAA^T based on 1D partitioning of A and A^T matrices

Experiments

Performance Results on Xeon

- Two E5-2643 processors @3.30GHz
- 8 cores in total
- 16 threads with HyperThreading

| Normalized parallel SpAA^T times | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|--------------------|------|------|
| | RRp | | MKL | | Best of CRp/RCp | | |
| Matrix | org | RCM | org | RCM | org | RCM | SB |
| degme | 1.00 | 1.21 | 1.22 | 1.11 | 0.69 | 0.97 | 0.58 |
| LargeRegFile | 1.00 | 1.02 | 1.53 | 1.38 | 0.75 | 1.12 | 0.45 |
| Stanford | 1.00 | 0.48 | 0.77 | 0.57 | 3.09 | 0.40 | 0.31 |
| web-BerkStan | 1.00 | 0.93 | 1.29 | 1.82 | 1.70 | 1.88 | 0.91 |

*Smaller the better

| Preprocessing overhead in terms of number of ${\rm SpAA^{T}}$ operations using RRp | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| Matrix | <i>sb</i> CRp/ <i>sb</i> RCp | | |
| degme | 136 | | |
| LargeRegFile | 143 | | |
| Stanford | 2 | | |
| web-BerkStan | 12 | | |

- References

References:

- N. Karmarkar, "A new polynomial-time algorithm for linear programming," Proc. 16th annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing, pp. 302–311, 1984.
- [2] S. Mehrotra, "On the implementation of a primal-dual interior point method," SIAM Journal on Optimization, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 575–601, 1992.
- [3] Y. Saad, Iterative methods for sparse linear systems. SIAM, 2003.
- [4] C. C. Paige and M. A. Saunders, "LSQR: An algorithm for sparse linear equations and sparse least squares," ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS), vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 43–71, 1982.
- [5] K. Yang and K. G. Murty, "New iterative methods for linear inequalities," Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 163–185, 1992.
- [6] B. Uçar, C. Aykanat, M. Ç. Pınar, and T. Malas, "Parallel image restoration using surrogate constraint methods," *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 186–204, 2007.
- [7] J. M. Kleinberg, "Authoritative sources in a hyperlinked environment," Journal of the ACM (JACM), vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 604–632, 1999.
- [8] X. Yang, S. Parthasarathy, and P. Sadayappan, "Fast sparse matrix-vector multiplication on GPUs: Implications for graph mining," Proc. VLDB Endow., vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 231–242, Jan. 2011.
- [9] T.-Y. Chen and J. W. Demmel, "Balancing sparse matrices for computing eigenvalues," *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 309, no. 13, pp. 261 287, 2000.
- [10] A. Buluç, J. T. Fineman, M. Frigo, J. R. Gilbert, and C. E. Leiserson, "Parallel sparse matrix-vector and matrix-transpose-vector multiplication using compressed sparse blocks," *Proc. 21st symposium on Parallelism* in Algorithms and Architectures, pp. 233–244, 2009.
- [11] K. Akbudak, E. Kayaaslan, and C. Aykanat, "Hypergraph partitioning based models and methods for exploiting cache locality in sparse matrix-vector multiplication," *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. C237–C262, 2013.